

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

# Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/cnsns



# Research paper

# Hamiltonian formalism for nonlinear Schrödinger equations

# Check for updates

Ali Pazarci<sup>a</sup>, Umut Can Turhan<sup>b</sup>, Nader Ghazanfari<sup>b</sup>, Ilmar Gahramanov<sup>a,c,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Physics, Bogazici University, 34342 Bebek, Istanbul, Turkey

<sup>b</sup> Department of Physics, Mimar Sinan Fine Arts University, Bomonti 34380, Istanbul, Turkey

<sup>c</sup> Department of Mathematics, Khazar University, Mehseti St. 41, AZ1096, Baku, Azerbaijan

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 13 October 2022 Received in revised form 8 February 2023 Accepted 20 February 2023 Available online 22 February 2023

*Keywords:* Dirac-Bergmann algorithm Nonlinear Schrödinger equation KdV equation

# ABSTRACT

We study the Hamiltonian formalism for second and fourth order nonlinear Schrödinger equations. In the case of the second order equation, we consider cubic and logarithmic nonlinearities. Since the Lagrangians generating these nonlinear equations are degenerate, we follow the Dirac–Bergmann formalism to construct their corresponding Hamiltonians. In order to obtain consistent equations of motion, the Dirac–Bergmann formalism imposes some set of constraints that contribute to the total Hamiltonian along with their Lagrange multipliers. The order of the Lagrangian degeneracy determines the number of primary constraints. If a constraint is not a constant of motion, a secondary constraint is introduced to force the consistency condition. We show that for second order and fourth order nonlinear Schrödinger equations we only have primary constraints, and the form of nonlinearity or the order of derivatives does not change the constraint dynamics of the system. However, we observe that introducing new fields to treat higher derivatives in the Lagrangians of these equations changes the constraint dynamics, and secondary constraints are needed to construct a consistent set of Hamilton equations.

© 2023 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

The Hamiltonian can be constructed through a straightforward Legendre transformation for a regular Lagrangian. However, in the case of the degenerate Lagrangians, for which the field variables are not independent and are connected to each other through some constraints, a new procedure is needed to construct a Hamiltonian, which produces consistent equations of motion [1]. In the late '40s and early 50's Bergmann [2–4] and Dirac [5–7] independently developed a Hamiltonian formalism for these degenerate Lagrangians, which are equivalently called singular Lagrangian systems or constrained Hamilton systems. The algorithm initially introduces a set of constraints, usually called primary constraints, with corresponding Lagrange multipliers to be determined. However, the dynamics of these constraints may also introduce the so-called secondary constraints. Such construction is important for the quantization of gauge theories in the functional integral formalism, furthermore, the constraint analysis can be used to handle higher derivative Lagrangian theories [8].

Here, we study the Hamiltonian formalism of the nonlinear Schrödinger equations (NLSEs). These equations have degenerate Lagrangians. Mathematically, a Lagrangian is called degenerate if the determinant of the Hessian matrix is zero [1]. Since there are constraints in the NLSEs caused by the singularity of the Hessian matrix, therefore, we use the Dirac–Bergmann algorithm (DBA) for constructing the consistent Hamiltonians.

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author at: Department of Physics, Bogazici University, 34342 Bebek, Istanbul, Turkey. *E-mail address:* ilmar.gahramanov@boun.edu.tr (I. Gahramanov).

NLSEs are classical field equations and are widely used to describe the properties of different physical systems. In nonlinear optics, the propagation of light in fibers and waveguides with nonlinear effects are governed by both second-order and higher-order NLSEs [9-11]. The higher order dispersions become considerable when the pulses become extremely short [12]. Moreover, The Gross-Pitaevskii equation, which is a second-order NLSE, describes the general properties of trapped Bose-Einstein condensates [13,14]. Solutions of these equations are studied in detail in the context of optics and condensed matter physics. The soliton solutions of these equations are widely investigated [15], and their instabilities due to the competition between dispersion and nonlinearity are well-known [16,17].

This article is organized as follows. We first describe the DBA used to construct consistent Hamilton equations of motion from a degenerate Lagrangian. Then, we apply the DBA to second-order NLSEs. We show that the Hamilton equations of motion for these systems can be constructed only by introducing primary constraints. By analyzing the already studied Korteweg-de Vries equation, we show that introducing a new field to treat the higher-order dispersion in the equation of motion generates secondary constraints. Eventually, we study the constraint dynamics of fourth-order NLSE.

#### 2. Dirac-Bergmann algorithm

The DBA is a set of well-defined rules to construct the Hamiltonian from a degenerate Lagrangian [1]. For a field  $\psi(\mathbf{r}, t)$ , a Lagrangian

$$L = \int d\mathbf{r} \mathcal{L} \left[ \psi_i, \nabla \psi_i, (\psi_i)_t \right], \tag{1}$$

for which equations of motion are generated from the Euler-Lagrange equation

$$\frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta \psi_i} - \frac{d}{dt} \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta(\psi_i)_t} - \nabla \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta(\nabla \psi_i)} = 0, \tag{2}$$

is called degenerate if the determinant of the Hessian matrix of the Lagrangian density  $\mathcal{L}$  becomes zero, i.e.

$$\left|\frac{\delta^2 \mathcal{L}}{\delta(\psi_i)_t \delta(\psi_j)_t}\right| = 0.$$
(3)

Here,  $\psi_t$  denotes the time derivative of the field  $\psi(\mathbf{r}, t)$ . Naturally, a Lagrangian is regular if the Hessian does not vanish. It may be seen from a Lagrangian density that a linear dependency on the total time derivatives of all fields corresponds to a degenerate Lagrangian density. The DBA introduces an initial set of primary constraints depending on the order of the degeneracy in the system. However, the dynamics of these constraints may also introduce a set of secondary constraints. These constraints with their corresponding multipliers contribute to the total Hamiltonian. Here, we follow the DBA step by step and construct a Hamiltonian which generates a consistent set of equations of motion.

After confirming the degeneracy of the Lagrangian, the number of the primary constraints are determined from the difference between dimension and rank of the Hessian matrix [18,19]. These primary constraints are naturally chosen to be the canonical momenta definitions, i.e.

$$\pi_{\psi_i} = \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta(\psi_i)_t}.\tag{4}$$

Each primary constraint  $c_i$  contributes to the total Hamiltonian with its corresponding Lagrange multiplier  $\lambda_i$ . With the additional contribution of constraint  $\mathcal{H}_c = \sum_i \lambda_i c_i$ , the total Hamiltonian can be written as

$$H\left[\psi_{i},\pi_{\psi_{i}}\right] = \int d\mathbf{r} \left(\mathcal{H}_{L} + \mathcal{H}_{c}\right), \qquad (5)$$

where  $\mathcal{H}_L = \sum_i \pi_{\psi_i}(\psi_i)_t - \mathcal{L}$  is the canonical Hamiltonian density. The next step in the DBA is to determine the multipliers  $\lambda_i$ . Consistent equations of motion can be constructed from these constraints only if they are constant of motion, in the other words, the Poisson bracket of the constraint with the total Hamiltonian is zero, i.e.  $\{c_i, H\} = 0$ . Practically, if this Poisson bracket contains any multiplier, the result can be set to zero. Accordingly, a set of vanishing Poisson brackets will give a set of linear equations for certain multipliers, which can be solved to obtain those multipliers. However, if a constraint is not a constant of motion, in other words, the Poisson bracket of the constraint with the total Hamiltonian does not contain any multiplier, the result should be forced to vanish which generates a new constraint, namely, the secondary constraint  $\tilde{c}_i$ . Secondary constraints are not distinct in nature from primary constraints, and their contribution to the total Hamiltonian is determined similarly but with a new set of Lagrange multipliers  $\lambda_i$ . Thus, the new constraint Hamiltonian density can be written as

$$\mathcal{H}_{c} = \sum_{i,j} \left( \lambda_{i} c_{i} + \tilde{\lambda}_{j} \tilde{c}_{j} \right), \tag{6}$$

If the consistency conditions for the new set of constraints,  $\{\tilde{c}_i, H\} = 0$ , are not established a new set of constraints, namely tertiary constraints, are defined and their contributions are added to  $\mathcal{H}_c$  accordingly. This procedure is carried on until all multipliers are determined. Eventually, the total Hamiltonian H is obtained after multiplier substitutions. Hamilton equations of motion are calculated as follows

$$(\psi_i)_t = \frac{\delta H}{\delta(\pi_{\psi_i})}, \quad (\pi_{\psi_i})_t = -\frac{\delta H}{\delta \psi_i}.$$
(7)

Throughout this procedure, to construct a Hamiltonian with consistent equations of motion, we have altered the total Hamiltonian by utilizing constraints. Instead, one may alter the structure of the Poisson bracket equivalently and define Dirac brackets. However, in this manuscript, for the sake of simplicity and a better understanding of the main DBA, we are not going into the details of this procedure. In order to get a close insight into the DBA, in the following sections, we apply this procedure to different Lagrangians and construct their corresponding Hamiltonians.

# 3. Nonlinear Schrödinger equation

Nonlinear Schrödinger equations are classical field equations and are widely used to describe the properties of the different physical systems from the propagation of light in nonlinear optics [9,10] to trapped Bose–Einstein condensates [13,14]. In this section, we will construct a Lagrangian for each of the two mostly used different equations of motion, generally called the cubic and the logarithmic nonlinear Schrödinger equations.

#### 3.1. Cubic nonlinear Schrödinger equation

The cubic nonlinear Schrödinger equation

$$iu_t + u_{xx} + 2|u|^2 u = 0, (8)$$

is one of the most studied nonlinear equations in physics [10,13,14]. Here,  $u_t$  and  $u_{xx}$  are first-order time and secondorder spatial derivatives of a classical field u(x, t). This equation is completely integrable as a Hamiltonian system, it was solved exactly by the method of the inverse scattering by Zakharov and Shabat [20] (see also [15,21]). First, we construct a Lagrangian that gives the nonlinear Schrödinger equation as an equation of motion. Since the expression (8) contains an imaginary part, one needs a Lagrangian with complex terms. Note that the Hamilton's equations and corresponding Poisson brackets for the nonlinear Schrödinger equation are written in the complex form in integrability literature, see, e.g. [21]. Here, we are interested in the construction of a real-valued Lagrangian system, therefore, we factorize the nonlinear Schrödinger equation to imaginary and real parts by setting  $u(x, t) = \phi(x, t)e^{i\theta(x,t)}$  which leads us to two equations of motion

$$\phi_t = -\theta_{xx}\phi - 2\phi_x\theta_x, 
\phi_t = 2\phi^3 + \phi_{xx} - \phi\theta_x^2.$$
(9)

The Lagrangian density whose variations with respect to  $\phi$  and  $\theta$  determine the  $\phi_t$  and  $\theta_t$  in accordance with Eq. (9) has the following form

$$\mathcal{L}_{nls}[\phi, \phi_x, \theta_x, \theta_t] = -\frac{1}{2}\theta_t \phi^2 + \frac{1}{2}\phi^4 - \frac{1}{2}\phi_x^2 - \frac{1}{2}\theta_x^2 \phi^2.$$
(10)

Since the determinant of the Hessian matrix for this Lagrangian is zero, i.e.

$$\det \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\delta^2 \mathcal{L}}{\delta \phi_t \delta \phi_t} & \frac{\delta^2 \mathcal{L}}{\delta \phi_t \delta \phi_t} \\ \frac{\delta^2 \delta L}{\delta \phi_t \delta \phi_t} & \frac{\delta^2 \mathcal{L}}{\delta \phi_t \delta \phi_t} \end{bmatrix} = 0,$$
(11)

the Lagrangian is degenerate. The Hessian matrix has a rank of zero so the difference between the dimensionality of the matrix and its rank imposes two primary constraints. As we mentioned in the previous section, the corresponding equations for canonical momenta of fields  $\theta$  and  $\phi$ 

$$\pi_{\phi} = 0, \qquad \pi_{\theta} = -\frac{1}{2}\phi^2, \tag{12}$$

are natural choices for the two needed primary constraints. Therefore, we set two primary constraints as

$$c_1 = \pi_{\phi}, \qquad c_2 = \pi_{\theta} + \frac{1}{2}\phi^2.$$
 (13)

By adding the contribution of the constraints  $H_c$  to the canonical  $H_l$ , we can construct the total Hamiltonian density as follows

$$\mathcal{H}_{nls} = \mathcal{H}_L + \mathcal{H}_c$$
  
=  $\pi_\theta \theta_t + \pi_\phi \phi_t - \mathcal{L}_{nls} + \lambda_1 c_1 + \lambda_2 c_2.$  (14)

After the substitution of the canonical momenta and the constraints, we have

$$\mathcal{H}_{nls} = -\frac{1}{2}\phi^4 + \frac{1}{2}\phi_x^2 + \frac{1}{2}\theta_x^2\phi^2 + \lambda_1\pi_\phi + \lambda_2\left(\pi_\theta + \frac{1}{2}\phi^2\right).$$
(15)

In order to determine the multipliers  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$ , we check the so-called consistency conditions, which basically determine the preservation of the constraints under time variation. These consistency conditions, established by the Poisson brackets of the constraints with the total Hamiltonian,  $H_{nls} = \int dx \mathcal{H}_{nls}$ 

$$\{c_1, H_{nls}\} = 2\phi^3 + \phi_{xx} - \phi\theta_x^2 - \lambda_2\phi,$$
  

$$\{c_2, H_{nls}\} = \lambda_1\phi + \phi^2\theta_{xx} + 2\phi\phi_x\theta_x,$$
(16)

lead us to the determination of the multipliers  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$ 

$$\lambda_1 = -\theta_{xx}\phi - 2\phi_x\theta_x,\tag{17a}$$

$$\lambda_2 = 2\phi^2 - \theta_x^2 + \frac{\phi_{xx}}{\phi}.$$
(17b)

By substituting the determined multipliers into the total Hamiltonian density and doing the necessary cancellations, we obtain

$$\mathcal{H}_{nls} = \frac{1}{2}\phi^4 + \pi_\phi \left(-\phi\theta_{xx} - 2\phi_x\theta_x\right) + \pi_\theta \left(2\phi^2 - \theta_x^2 + \frac{\phi_{xx}}{\phi}\right). \tag{18}$$

Now, we can calculate the equations of motion from the total Hamiltonian

$$\phi_t = -\theta_{xx}\phi - 2\phi_x\theta_x,\tag{19a}$$

$$\phi\theta_t = 2\phi^3 + \phi_{xx} - \phi\theta_x^2,\tag{19b}$$

$$\left(\pi_{\phi}\right)_{t} = 0,\tag{19c}$$

$$(\pi_{\theta})_t = 2\phi \phi_x \theta_x + \phi^2 \theta_{xx}.$$
(19d)

The first two Eqs. (19a) and (19b) are the same as the equations of motion (9), from which we constructed the Lagrangian (10). If we substitute the canonical momenta into the total Hamiltonian with the integrand (18) and perform integration by parts, we obtain

$$H_{nls} = \int dx \left( \frac{1}{2} \phi_x^2 + \frac{1}{2} \phi^2 \theta_x^2 - \frac{1}{2} \phi^4 \right), \tag{20}$$

which is a redefined version of

$$H_{nls} = \int dx \left( \frac{1}{2} |u_x|^2 - \frac{1}{2} |u|^4 \right), \tag{21}$$

with  $u = \phi e^{i\theta}$ . The expression (21) is used in the integrability community as the Hamiltonian of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation.

#### 3.2. Logarithmic nonlinear Schrödinger equation

For the Logarithmic nonlinear Schrödinger equation [22]

$$iu_t + u_{xx} + u\ln|u|^2 = 0, (22)$$

similar to the cubic nonlinear Schrödinger equation, to obtain a real valued Lagrangian we set  $u(x, t) = \phi(x, t)e^{i\theta(x,t)}$ , which leads us to two equations of motion

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi_t &= -2\phi_x \theta_x - \phi \theta_{xx}, \\
\phi_t &= \phi_{xx} - \phi \theta_x^2 + 2\phi \ln \phi.
\end{aligned}$$
(23)

From these equations of motions, we construct the logarithmic Lagrangian density

$$\mathcal{L}_{lnls}[\phi, \phi_x, \theta_x, \theta_t] = -\frac{1}{2}\phi^2\theta_t - \frac{1}{2}\phi_x^2 - \frac{1}{2}\phi^2\theta_x^2 + \phi^2\ln\phi - \frac{1}{2}\phi^2.$$
(24)

By being degenerate, having a rank zero Hessian matrix, and giving the same canonical momenta Eq. (12), qualitatively, this logarithmic Lagrangian density is similar to the cubic one Eq. (10). Therefore, we choose the same primary constraints Eq. (13) and write the total Hamiltonian as follows

$$\mathcal{H}_{lnls} = \frac{1}{2}\phi_x^2 + \frac{1}{2}\phi^2\theta_x^2 + \frac{1}{2}\phi^2 - \phi^2\ln\phi + \lambda_1\pi_\phi + \lambda_2\left(\pi_\theta + \frac{1}{2}\phi^2\right).$$
(25)

Similarly, the two consistency conditions, defined by the Poisson brackets of the primary constraints with the total Hamiltonian, produce multipliers

$$\lambda_1 = -\phi \theta_{xx} - 2\phi_x \theta_x, \tag{26a}$$

$$\lambda_2 = \frac{\varphi_{XX}}{\phi} - \theta_x^2 + 2\ln\phi. \tag{26b}$$

After the substitution of the multipliers, the total Hamiltonian density can be written as

$$\mathcal{H}_{lnls} = \frac{1}{2}\phi^2 + \pi_{\phi}\left(-\phi\theta_{xx} - 2\phi_x\theta_x\right) + \pi_{\theta}\left(\frac{\phi_{xx}}{\phi} - \theta_x^2 + 2\ln\phi\right).$$
(27)

And eventually, the equations of motion can be obtained as

$$\phi_t = -2\phi_x\theta_x - \phi_{xx},\tag{28a}$$

$$\phi\theta_t = \phi_{xx} - \phi\theta_x^2 + 2\phi \ln \phi, \tag{28b}$$

$$(\pi_{\phi})_t = 0, \tag{28c}$$

$$(\pi_{\theta})_t = 2\phi\phi_x\theta_x + \phi^2\theta_{xx}.$$
(28d)

Similar to the case of the cubic nonlinearity, by substituting the canonical momenta and doing integration by parts the total Hamiltonian is obtained,

$$H_{\text{Inls}} = \int dx \, \left( \frac{1}{2} \phi^2 + \frac{1}{2} \phi_x^2 + \frac{1}{2} \phi^2 \theta_x^2 - \phi^2 \ln \phi \right), \tag{29}$$

which can be written as

$$H_{lnls} = \int dx \left( \frac{1}{2} |u_x|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |u|^2 - \frac{1}{2} |u|^2 \ln |u|^2 \right)$$
(30)

with  $u = \phi e^{i\theta}$ .

We observe that changing the form of the nonlinearity in a degenerate Lagrangian does not generate different constraint dynamics, since its dynamics are set by the order and form of time and spatial derivatives. This result is best manifested by constraint dynamics of the Korteweg–de Vries (KdV) and the fourth-order nonlinear Schrödinger equations. For both of these equations of motion, secondary constraints are needed to construct the Hamiltonian from a degenerate Lagrangian.

#### 4. Korteweg-deVries equation

The KdV equation

$$u_t - 6uu_x + u_{xxx} = 0, (31)$$

is a useful example of a degenerate Lagrangian for which we need some secondary constraints to construct the Hamiltonian. Since studying this example helps to understand the constraint dynamics of fourth-order NLSE, we briefly review the Hamiltonian formalism of this system. The constraint dynamics of the KdV equation have already been studied in [23] (see also [24,25]). With substitution  $u(x, t) = \phi_x(x, t)$ , the Lagrangian density for Eq. (31) can be written as

$$\mathcal{L}_{KdV}\left[\psi, \phi_x, \psi_x, \phi_t\right] = \frac{1}{2}\phi_t \phi_x + \phi_x^3 + \phi_x \psi_x + \frac{1}{2}\psi^2, \tag{32}$$

Here, an extra field  $\psi(x, t) = \phi_{xx}(x, t)$  is introduced to avoid higher order derivatives in the Lagrangian. Although there are procedures handling the higher-order degenerate Lagrangians, introducing this extra field eases the Hamiltonian formalism of the original higher-order Lagrangian. The variations of this Lagrangian density (32) with respect to  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  give two equations of motion as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi_{xt} + 6\phi_x\phi_{xx} + \psi_{xx} &= 0, \\
\psi - \phi_{xx} &= 0.
\end{aligned}$$
(33)

The second equation here gives the extra defined field. Similar to the previous examples, the rank of the Hessian matrix is zero, and its difference from the dimension of the Hessian matrix imposes two primary constraints which can be chosen to be the equations for canonical momenta

$$c_1 = \pi_{\psi}, \qquad c_2 = \pi_{\phi} - \frac{1}{2}\phi_{\mathsf{x}}.$$
 (34)

The total Hamiltonian constructed by these primary constraints is

$$\mathcal{H}_{KdV} = -\phi_x^3 - \phi_x \psi_x - \frac{1}{2} \psi^2 + \lambda_1 c_1 + \lambda_2 c_2.$$
(35)

Despite all similarities between the Hessian matrix properties of KdV Lagrangian and each of nonlinear Schrödinger Lagrangians, (10) and (24), the consistency condition of the constraint  $c_1$  does not contain any multiplier, which means we cannot obtain an expression for any multiplier. Thus, we should force the constraint to be a constant of motion. Explicitly speaking, the Poisson bracket of the constraint  $c_1$  and the total Hamiltonian

$$\{c_1, H\} = \psi - \phi_{xx}, \tag{36}$$

should be set to zero. Therefore, we need to add the secondary constraint  $\tilde{c}_3 = \psi - \phi_{xx}$ , along with its corresponding multiplier  $\tilde{\lambda}_1$  to the total Hamiltonian density

$$\mathcal{H}_{KdV} = -\phi_x^3 - \phi_x \psi_x - \frac{1}{2} \psi^2 + \lambda_1 c_1 + \lambda_2 c_2 + \tilde{\lambda}_3 \tilde{c}_3.$$
(37)

The secondary constraint here is the result of the new field  $\psi$  which is defined to treat the higher order term in the Lagrangian. The consistency conditions lead us to the full determination of the multipliers

$$\lambda_1 = -\phi_{5x} - 6\phi_x \phi_{3x} - 6\phi_{xx}^2,$$
(38a)  
$$\lambda_2 = -\phi_{3x} - 3\phi_{xx}^2.$$
(38b)

$$\lambda_2 = -\phi_{3x} - 3\phi_x^2, \tag{38D}$$

$$\lambda_3 = \psi - \phi_{xx}. \tag{38c}$$

Accordingly, the total Hamiltonian density is obtained

$$\mathcal{H}_{KdV} = \frac{1}{2}\phi_x^3 + \frac{1}{2}\psi^2 + \phi_x\psi_x + \frac{1}{2}\phi_{xx}^2 - \pi_\phi\left(\phi_{3x} + 3\phi_x^2\right) - \pi_\psi\left(\phi_{5x} + 6\phi_{xx}^2 + 6\phi_x\phi_{3x}\right),\tag{39}$$

and the equations of motion are calculated as follows,

$$\phi_t + 3\phi_x^2 + \phi_{3x} = 0, \tag{40a}$$

$$\phi_{xt} + 6\phi_x\phi_{xx} + \phi_{4x} = 0, \tag{40b}$$

$$\psi_t + 6\phi_{xx}^2 + 6\phi_x\phi_{3x} + \phi_{5x} = 0, \tag{40c}$$

$$\psi - \phi_{xx} = 0. \tag{40d}$$

Here, the second equation is the spatial derivative of the first equation, which is consistent with the third equation by substituting the fourth equation. Note that the secondary constraint  $\tilde{c}_3$  is the result of introducing the new field  $\psi$  to avoid the higher order derivatives in the Lagrangian. The constraint here generates the equation through which the field has been introduced.

### 5. Fourth-order nonlinear Schrödinger equation

The fourth order nonlinear Schrödinger equation

$$iu_t + u_{xx} + u_{4x} + 2|u|^2 u = 0. (41)$$

describes the propagation of ultrashort pulses in nonlinear optics [12]. As in the case of second order NLSEs, to write a real-valued Lagrangian for this equation, we set  $u(x, t) = \phi(x, t)e^{i\theta(x,t)}$ , which leads us to the following equations of motion

$$\phi_t = -2\theta_x\phi_x + 4\theta_x^3\phi_x - \phi\theta_{xx} + 6\phi\theta_x^2\theta_{xx} - 6\theta_{xx}\phi_{xx} - 4\phi_x\theta_{3x} - 4\theta_x\phi_{3x} - \phi\theta_{4x}, \phi_t = 2\phi^3 - \phi\theta_x^2 + \phi\theta_x^4 - 12\phi_x\theta_x\theta_{xx} - 3\phi\theta_{xx}^2 + \phi_{xx} - 6\phi_{xx}\theta_x^2 - 4\phi\theta_x\theta_{3x} + \phi_{4x}.$$

$$(42)$$

We write down a Lagrangian density

$$\mathcal{L}_{fnls}\left[\phi, \phi_x, \theta_x, \phi_{xx}, \theta_{xx}, \theta_{3x}, \theta_t\right] = -\frac{1}{2}\theta_t \phi^2 + \frac{1}{2}\phi^4 - \frac{1}{2}\phi_x^2 - \frac{1}{2}\theta_x^2 \phi^2 + \frac{1}{2}\theta_x^4 \phi^2 + 3\theta_x^2 \phi_x^2 - 2\phi^2 \theta_x \theta_{3x} - \frac{3}{2}\theta_{xx}^2 \phi^2 + \frac{1}{2}\phi_{xx}^2, \quad (43)$$

whose variation with respect to  $\phi$  and  $\theta$  leads us to the equations of motion given by (42). The dynamics of this singular Lagrangian give three primary constraints. Similar to the case of KdV, we write down a Lagrangian with a newly introduced field  $\gamma = \theta_{xx}$ , for which the variations with respect to fields  $\phi$ ,  $\theta$ , and  $\gamma$  generate Eqs. (42) along with  $\phi^2(\gamma - \theta_{xx}) = 0$ . Accordingly, the Lagrangian density can be written as

$$\mathcal{L}_{fnls} = -\frac{1}{2}\theta_t \phi^2 + \frac{1}{2}\phi^4 - \frac{1}{2}\phi_x^2 - \frac{1}{2}\theta_x^2 \phi^2 + \frac{1}{2}\theta_x^4 \phi^2 + \theta_x^2 \phi_x^2 - \gamma_x \theta_x \phi^2 - 2\gamma \theta_x \phi_x \phi - \frac{1}{2}\gamma^2 \phi^2 - 2\theta_x^2 \phi_{xx} \phi + \frac{1}{2}\phi_{xx}^2.$$
(44)

The rank of the Hessian matrix generated from this degenerate Lagrangian density is again zero. Thus, we need three primary constraints. Note that here the dimension of the Hessian matrix is three. We set the equations for the canonical momenta as primary constraints, such that

$$c_1 = \pi_{\phi}, \qquad c_2 = \pi_{\theta} + \frac{1}{2}\phi^2, \qquad c_3 = \pi_{\gamma}.$$
 (45)

Following the DBA, we check the consistency conditions to cope with the uncertainty in the Hamilton equations. The Poisson bracket of the constraints  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  with the total Hamiltonian  $H_{fnls} = \int dx \mathcal{H}_{fnls}$  give the Lagrange multipliers  $\lambda_2$  and  $\lambda_1$ , respectively. However, The consistency condition of the constraint  $c_3$  does not contain any multiplier, which means that the result should be set as a new constraint. In other words the Poisson bracket of the constraint  $c_3$  and the total Hamiltonian

$$\{c_3, H\} = \phi^2 (\gamma - \theta_{xx}).$$
(46)

produces a secondary constraint. We define this secondary constraint as  $\tilde{c}_4 = \gamma - \theta_{xx}$ . By adding the contribution of the primary and secondary constraints, the total Hamiltonian density becomes

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{fnls}} = \gamma_{x}\theta_{x}\phi^{2} + 2\gamma\theta_{x}\phi_{x}\phi + \frac{1}{2}\gamma^{2}\phi^{2} + 2\theta_{x}^{2}\phi_{xx}\phi - \theta_{x}^{2}\phi_{x}^{2} - \frac{1}{2}\theta_{x}^{4}\phi^{2} + \frac{1}{2}\theta_{x}^{2}\phi^{2} + \frac{1}{2}\phi_{x}^{2} - \frac{1}{2}\phi_{xx}^{2} - \frac{1}{2}\phi^{4} + \lambda_{1}\pi_{\phi} + \lambda_{2}\left(\pi_{\theta} + \frac{1}{2}\phi^{2}\right) + \lambda_{3}\pi_{\gamma} + \tilde{\lambda}_{4}\left(\gamma - \theta_{xx}\right).$$
(47)

Since there are no tertiary constraints for Lagrangian (44), we are able to obtain all the multipliers from consistency conditions, as follows

$$\lambda_{1} = -2\left[2\gamma_{x}\phi_{x} + \phi_{xx}\left(\gamma + 2\theta_{xx}\right) - 2\theta_{x}^{3}\phi_{x} + \theta_{x}\left(\phi_{x} + 2\phi_{3x}\right)\right] - \phi\left[\gamma_{xx} + \left(1 - 6\theta_{x}^{2}\right)\theta_{xx}\right] + \frac{2\phi_{x}^{2}}{\phi}\left(\theta_{xx} - \gamma\right),$$
(48a)

$$\lambda_2 = \frac{1}{\phi} \left[ 2\gamma \theta_{xx} \phi - \gamma^2 \phi - 12\theta_x \theta_{xx} \phi_x - 6\theta_x^2 \phi_{xx} + \left( \theta_x^4 - \theta_x^2 - 4\theta_{3x} \theta_x - 4\theta_{xx}^2 \right) \phi + \phi_{xx} + \phi_{4x} + 2\phi^3 \right], \tag{48b}$$

$$\begin{split} \lambda_{3} &= -2\left(-\gamma_{xx}\theta_{xx} - 2\gamma_{x}\theta_{3x} + \gamma_{x}^{2} + \gamma\gamma_{xx} - \gamma\theta_{4x} - 2\theta_{3x}\theta_{x}^{3} - 6\theta_{xx}^{2}\theta_{x}^{2} + \theta_{3x}\theta_{x} + 2\theta_{5x}\theta_{x} + \theta_{xx}^{2} + 6\theta_{3x}^{2} + 8\theta_{xx}\theta_{4x} - 2\phi_{x}^{2}\right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{\phi^{2}}\left\{24\left(\theta_{xx}^{2} + \theta_{x}\theta_{3x}\right)\phi_{x}^{2} + 2\phi_{x}\left(6\theta_{x}^{2}\phi_{3x} + 30\theta_{xx}\theta_{x}\phi_{xx} - \phi_{3x} - \phi_{5x}\right) + \phi_{xx}\left[\left(6\theta_{x}^{2} - 1\right)\phi_{xx} - \phi_{4x}\right]\right\} \\ &+ \frac{1}{\phi}\left[-6\theta_{x}^{2}\phi_{4x} - 12\theta_{x}\left(3\theta_{3x}\phi_{xx} + \theta_{4x}\phi_{x}\right) - 36\theta_{xx}^{2}\phi_{xx} - 36\theta_{xx}\left(\theta_{3x}\phi_{x} + \theta_{x}\phi_{3x}\right) + \phi_{4x} + \phi_{6x}\right] \\ &+ \frac{2\phi_{x}^{2}}{\phi^{3}}\left(-6\theta_{x}^{2}\phi_{xx} - 12\theta_{xx}\theta_{x}\phi_{x} + \phi_{xx} + \phi_{4x}\right) + 4\phi\phi_{xx}, \\ \tilde{\lambda}_{4} &= \phi^{2}\left(\theta_{xx} - \gamma\right). \end{split}$$
(48d)

After the substitution of the multipliers, the total Hamiltonian can be written as

$$\mathcal{H}_{fnls} = \frac{1}{2\phi^3} \left( a_7 \phi^7 + a_6 \phi^6 + a_5 \phi^5 + a_4 \phi^4 + a_3 \phi^3 + a_2 \phi^2 + a_1 \phi + a_0 \right), \tag{49}$$

with coefficients given as

$$a_7 = 1,$$
 (50a)

$$a_6 = 0, \tag{50b}$$

$$a_5 = 4\pi_\theta + 6\gamma\theta_{xx} - 2\gamma^2 - 6\theta_{xx}^2 + 2\gamma_x\theta_x - 4\theta_x\theta_{3x},\tag{50c}$$

$$a_4 = 4\gamma \theta_x \phi_x - 2\phi_{xx} \theta_x^2 - 12\phi_x \theta_{xx} \theta_x - 2\pi_\phi \left[\gamma_{xx} + \left(1 - 6\theta_x^2\right)\theta_{xx}\right] + 8\pi_\gamma \phi_{xx} + \phi_{xx} + \phi_{4x},\tag{50d}$$

$$a_{3} = \phi_{x}^{2} - 2\theta_{x}^{2}\phi_{x}^{2} - \phi_{xx}^{2} + 2\pi_{\theta}\left(-\gamma^{2} + 2\gamma\theta_{xx} + \theta_{x}^{4} - \theta_{x}^{2} - 4\theta_{3x}\theta_{x} - 4\theta_{xx}^{2}\right)$$
(50e)

$$-4\pi_{\phi}\left(\gamma\phi_{xx}-2\phi_{x}\theta_{x}^{2}+\phi_{x}\theta_{x}+2\phi_{3x}\theta_{x}+2\gamma_{x}\phi_{x}+2\theta_{3x}\phi_{x}\right)\\-4\pi_{\gamma}\left(\gamma\gamma_{xx}-\gamma\theta_{4x}-2\theta_{3x}\theta_{x}^{3}-6\theta_{xx}^{2}\theta_{x}^{2}+\theta_{3x}\theta_{x}+2\theta_{5x}\theta_{x}+\gamma_{x}^{2}-2\phi_{x}^{2}+\theta_{xx}^{2}+6\theta_{3x}^{2}-\gamma_{xx}\theta_{xx}-2\gamma_{x}\theta_{3x}+8\theta_{xx}\theta_{4x}\right),$$

$$a_{2} = \pi_{\theta} \left( 12\theta_{x}\phi_{x}\theta_{xx} - \phi_{xx} + 6\theta_{x}^{2}\phi_{xx} - \phi_{4x} \right) + 4\pi_{\phi} \left( \theta_{xx}\phi_{x}^{2} - \gamma\phi_{x}^{2} \right)$$

$$+ 2\pi \left[ -6\phi_{x} \theta_{x}^{2} - 12\theta_{x} \left( 3\phi_{x} \theta_{x} + \phi_{x} \theta_{x} \right) - 36\theta_{x}^{2}\phi_{x} - 36\theta_{x} \left( \phi_{x} \theta_{x} + \theta_{x} \theta_{x} \right) + \phi_{x} + \phi_{x} \right]$$

$$(50f)$$

$$+ 2\pi_{\gamma} \left[ -6\phi_{4x}\phi_{x} - 12\phi_{x} (3\phi_{xx}\phi_{3x} + \phi_{x}\phi_{4x}) - 36\phi_{xx}\phi_{xx} - 36\phi_{xx} (\phi_{x}\phi_{3x} + \phi_{x}\phi_{3x}) + \phi_{4x} + \phi_{6x} \right],$$

$$a_{1} = 2\pi_{\gamma} \left\{ 24 \left( \theta_{xx}^{2} + \theta_{x}\theta_{3x} \right) \phi_{x}^{2} + 2 \left( 6\phi_{3x}\theta_{x}^{2} + 30\theta_{xx}\phi_{xx}\theta_{x} - \phi_{3x} - \phi_{5x} \right) \phi_{x} + \phi_{xx} \left[ \left( 6\theta_{x}^{2} - 1 \right) \phi_{xx} - \phi_{4x} \right] \right\},$$
(50g)

$$a_0 = 4\pi_{\gamma}\phi_x^2 \left(-6\phi_{xx}\theta_x^2 - 12\phi_x\theta_{xx}\theta_x + \phi_{xx} + \phi_{4x}\right).$$
(50h)

In order to show the consistency we produce all desired equations of motion of this Hamiltonian which are obtained as

$$\phi_t = -2\theta_x\phi_x + 4\theta_x^3\phi_x - \phi\theta_{xx} + 6\phi\theta_x^2\theta_{xx} - 6\theta_{xx}\phi_{xx} - 4\phi_x\theta_{3x} - 4\theta_x\phi_{3x} - \phi\theta_{4x},$$
(51a)

$$\phi\theta_t = 2\phi^3 - \phi\theta_x^2 + \phi\theta_x^4 - 12\phi_x\theta_x\theta_{xx} - 3\phi\theta_{xx}^2 + \phi_{xx} - 6\phi_{xx}\theta_x^2 - 4\phi\theta_x\theta_{3x} + \phi_{4x},$$
(51b)

$$\gamma_t = (\theta_t)_{xx} = (\theta_{xx})_t, \tag{51c}$$

$$(\pi_{\phi})_t = 0, \tag{51d}$$

A. Pazarci, U.C. Turhan, N. Ghazanfari et al.

(51e)

$$(\pi_{\gamma})_t = 0.$$

By substituting the canonical momenta the total Hamiltonian can be written as

$$H_{\text{fnls}} = \int dx \left( 2\theta_x \theta_{xx} \phi_x \phi + 2\theta_x^2 \phi_{xx} \phi - \theta_x^2 \phi_x^2 - \frac{1}{2} \theta_x^4 \phi^2 + \frac{1}{2} \theta_x^2 \phi^2 + \frac{1}{2} \theta_{xx}^2 \phi^2 + \theta_x \theta_{3x} \phi^2 + \frac{1}{2} \phi_x^2 - \frac{1}{2} \phi_{xx}^2 - \frac{1}{2} \phi_x^4 \right) .$$
(52)

Eventually, the total Hamiltonian as a functional of the original field  $u = \phi e^{i\theta}$  is obtained as [26]

$$H_{fnls} = \int dx \left( \frac{1}{2} |u_x|^2 - \frac{1}{2} |u|^4 - \frac{1}{2} |u_{xx}|^2 \right) \,. \tag{53}$$

# 6. Conclusion

The Dirac–Bergmann algorithm is used to construct the Hamiltonian from degenerate Lagrangians. The algorithm introduces a set of constraints and their corresponding Lagrange multipliers in order to obtain consistent Hamilton equations of motion. By studying different degenerate Lagrangians, we conclude that, for nonlinear Schrödinger equations, the form of nonlinearity or the order of spatial derivatives does not change the dynamics of the constraints. However, introducing a new field to treat the higher-order derivatives appearing in the Lagrangian generates secondary constraints. The constraints naturally are the same as the definition of the new fields.

### **CRediT authorship contribution statement**

**Ali Pazarci:** Conceptualization, Methodology, Computations, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. **Umut Can Turhan:** Conceptualization, Methodology, Computations, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. **Nader Ghazanfari:** Conceptualization, Methodology, Computations, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing, Supervision. **Ilmar Gahramanov:** Conceptualization, Methodology, Computations, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing, Supervision.

## **Declaration of competing interest**

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

# Data availability

No data was used for the research described in the article.

## References

- Sundermeyer K. Constrained dynamics with applications to Yang-Mills theory, general relativity, classical spin, dual string model. Springer-Verlag; 1982.
- [2] Bergmann PG. Non-linear field theories. Phys Rev 1949;75:680-5, URL https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRev.75.680.
- Bergmann PG, Brunings JHM. Non-linear field theories II. Canonical equations and quantization. Rev Modern Phys 1949;21:480–7, URL https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/RevModPhys.21.480.
- [4] Bergmann PG, Penfield R, Schiller R, Zatzkis H. The Hamiltonian of the general theory of relativity with electromagnetic field. Phys Rev 1950;80:81–8, URL https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRev.80.81.
- [5] Dirac PAM. Generalized Hamiltonian dynamics. Canad J Math 1950;2:129–48.
- [6] Dirac PAM. The Hamiltonian form of field dynamics. Canad J Math 1951;3:1-23.
- [7] Anderson JL, Bergmann PG. Constraints in covariant field theories. Phys Rev 1951;83:1018–25, URL https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRev.83. 1018.
- [8] Gitman D, Tyutin IV. Quantization of fields with constraints. Springer Science & Business Media; 2012.
- [9] Scott A. Encyclopedia of nonlinear science. Taylor & Francis Inc; 2004.
- [10] Powers P, Haus J. Fundamentals of nonlinear optics. 2nd ed. CRC Press; 2017.
- [11] Bialynicki-Birula I, Mycielski J. Nonlinear wave mechanics. Ann Phys 1976;100(1-2):62-93.
- [12] Hosseini K, Samadani F, Kumar D, Faridi M. New optical solitons of cubic-quartic nonlinear Schrödinger equation. Optik 2018;157:1101–5, URL https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0030402617315449.
- [13] Pethick CJ, Smith H. Bose-Einstein condensation in dilute gases. 2nd ed. Cambridge University Press; 2008.
- [14] Pitaevskii L, Stringari S. Bose-Einstein condensation and superfluidity. Oxford University Press; 2016.
- [15] Novikov S, Manakov SV, Pitaevskii LP, Zakharov VE. Theory of solitons: the inverse scattering method. Springer Science & Business Media; 1984.
- [16] Karpman V. Solitons of the fourth order nonlinear Schrödinger equation. Phys Lett A 1994;193(4):355–8, URL https://www.sciencedirect.com/ science/article/pii/0375960194909644.
- [17] Karpman VI. Stabilization of soliton instabilities by higher-order dispersion: Fourth-order nonlinear Schrödinger-type equations. Phys Rev E 1996;53:R1336–9, URL https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevE.53.R1336.
- [18] Deriglazov AA. Classical mechanics, hamiltonian and lagrangian formalism. Springer; 2010.
- [19] Lusanna L. Dirac-bergmann constraints in physics: Singular Lagrangians, Hamiltonian constraints and the second noether theorem. Int J Geom Methods Mod Phys 2018;15(10):1830004.

#### A. Pazarci, U.C. Turhan, N. Ghazanfari et al.

- [20] Shabat A, Zakharov V. Exact theory of two-dimensional self-focusing and one-dimensional self-modulation of waves in nonlinear media. Sov Phys–JETP 1972;34(1):62.
- [21] Zakharov VE, Manakov SV. On complete integrability of the nonlinear schroedinger equation. Teor. Mat. Fiz. 1974;19:332-43.
- [22] Białynicki-Birula I, Mycielski J. Nonlinear Wave Mechanics. Ann Physics 1976;100:62.
  [23] Nutku Y. Hamiltonian formulation of the KdV equation. J Math Phys 1984;25(6):2007–8.
- [24] Nutku Y. Lagrangian approach to integrable systems yields new symplectic structures for KdV. In: NATO advanced research workshop on integrable hierarchies and modern physical theories (NATO ARW UIC 2000). 2000, arXiv:hep-th/0011052.
- [25] Nutku Y, Pavlov M. Multi-Lagrangians for integrable systems. | Math Phys 2002;43(3):1441-59. [26] Karpman V. Influence of high-order dispersion on self-focusing. I. Qualitative investigation. Phys Lett A 1991;160(6):531-7, URL https:

//www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/037596019191063].