Filomat 37:21 (2023), 7303–7310 https://doi.org/10.2298/FIL2321303T



Published by Faculty of Sciences and Mathematics, University of Niš, Serbia Available at: http://www.pmf.ni.ac.rs/filomat

On the solution of the operator Riccati equations and invariant subspaces in the weighted Bergman space of the unit ball

Ramiz Tapdigoglu^{a,b}, Mehmet Gürdal^{c,*}, Nur Sarı^c

^a Azerbaijan State University of Economics (UNEC), Baku, Azerbaijan ^bDepartment of Mathematics, Khazar University, Baku, Azerbaijan ^cDepartment of Mathematics, Suleyman Demirel University, 32260, Isparta, Turkey

Abstract. We consider the Riccati operator equations on the weighted Bergman space $A_{\alpha}^2(\mathbb{B}_n)$ of the unit ball \mathbb{B}_n in \mathbb{C}^n and investigate the properties of their solutions. Our discussion uses the Berezin symbols method.

1. Introduction and background

Through the paper, \mathbb{B}_n will denote the unit ball in \mathbb{C}^n . Let v be the normalized Lebesgue volume measure on \mathbb{B}_n . For $-1 < \alpha < +\infty$, we denote by v_α the measure on \mathbb{B}_n defined by

$$dv_{\alpha}(z) = c_{\alpha} \left(1 - |z|^{2}\right)^{\alpha} dv(z),$$

where $c_{\alpha} = \frac{\Gamma(n+\alpha+1)}{n!\Gamma(\alpha+1)}$ is a normalizing constant such that $v_{\alpha}(\mathbb{B}_n) = 1$. For $1 \le p < \infty$, we write $\|.\|_{\alpha,p}$ for the norm in $L^p(\mathbb{B}_n, dv_{\alpha})$ and $\langle ., . \rangle_{\alpha}$ for the inner product on $L^2(\mathbb{B}_n, dv_{\alpha})$. Recall that the Bergman space $A_{\alpha}^2(\mathbb{B}_n)$ is the space of holomorphic functions which are square-integrable with respect to measure dv_{α} on \mathbb{B}_n . It is known that (see, for instance, Hedenmalm, Korenblum and Zhu [17]) the reproducing kernel K_w^{α} and normalized reproducing kernel k_w^{α} of the space $A_{\alpha}^2(\mathbb{B}_n)$ are given by

$$K_{w}^{\alpha}\left(z\right):=\frac{1}{\left(1-\left\langle z,w\right\rangle\right)^{n+\alpha+1}}$$

and

$$k_w^{\alpha}(z) := \frac{\left(1 - |w|^2\right)^{\frac{n+\alpha+1}{2}}}{\left(1 - \langle z, w \rangle\right)^{n+\alpha+1}},$$

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 47B35

Email addresses: tapdigoglu@gmail.com (Ramiz Tapdigoglu), gurdalmehmet@sdu.edu.tr (Mehmet Gürdal),

Keywords. Bergman space, Riccati operator equation, Berezin symbol, Toeplitz operator, Invariant subspace, Reproducing kernel Received: 29 December 2021; Accepted: 21 March 2023

Communicated by Fuad Kittaneh

^{*} Corresponding author: Mehmet Gürdal

nursari32@hotmail.com (Nur Sarı)

respectively, for $z, w \in \mathbb{B}_n$. The reproducing property means that

$$\langle f, K_w^\alpha \rangle_\alpha = f(w)$$

for every $f \in A_{\alpha}^{2}(\mathbb{B}_{n})$ and all $z, w \in \mathbb{B}_{n}$. The orthogonal projection P_{α} of $L^{2}(\mathbb{B}_{n}, dv_{\alpha})$ onto $A_{\alpha}^{2}(\mathbb{B}_{n})$ is given by

$$(P_{\alpha}f)(w) := \langle f, K_{w}^{\alpha} \rangle_{\alpha} = \int_{\mathbb{B}_{n}} f(z) \frac{1}{(1 - \langle w, z \rangle)^{n+\alpha+1}} dv_{\alpha}(z)$$

for $f \in L^2(\mathbb{B}_n, dv_\alpha)$ and $w \in \mathbb{B}_n$. Given $h \in L^1(\mathbb{B}_n, dv_\alpha)$, the Toeplitz operator

$$T_h: A^2_{\alpha}(\mathbb{B}_n) \to A^2_{\alpha}(\mathbb{B}_n)$$

and multiplication operator

$$M_h: A^2_{\alpha}(\mathbb{B}_n) \to L^2(\mathbb{B}_n, dv_{\alpha})$$

are defined, respectively, by

$$(T_h f)(z) = \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{f(w)h(w)}{(1 - \langle z, w \rangle)^{n+\alpha+1}} dv_\alpha(w)$$
(1)

and

$$M_h(f) = hf.$$

.

Recall that for a bounded linear operator *A* on Bergman space A_{α}^2 (**B**_{*n*}), its Berezin symbol (transform) is defined by (see [2–5, 9, 15, 17])

$$A(z) := \langle Ak_z(w), k_z(w) \rangle, \ z, w \in \mathbb{B}_n.$$

Since by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality

$$\left|\widetilde{A}(z)\right| \le \left\|Ak_{z}(w)\right\| \left\|k_{z}(w)\right\| \le \left\|A\right\|$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{B}_n$, the Berezin symbol \widetilde{A} is a bounded complex-valued function on \mathbb{B}_n . The present paper is motivated mostly by the papers [10, 16]. Namely, in the present article, by using the Berezin symbol technique, we study the operator Riccati equation

$$XAX + XB - CX - D = 0 \tag{2}$$

with coefficient operators *A*, *B*, *C*, *D* in $\mathcal{B}(A^2_{\alpha}(\mathbb{B}_n))$.

We also investigate invariant subspaces of a Toeplitz operator on the weighted Bergman space $A_{\alpha}^{2}(\mathbb{B}_{n})$ in terms of Berezin symbols.

Note that Berezin symbol of operators plays important role in operator theory (see [5, 9, 17]). In particular, it is important in the characterization of compact operators (see Nordgren and Rosenthal [15]), including compact Toeplitz operators (see [1, 17], and references therein). The method of Berezin symbols is motivated by its connection with quantum physics, see Berezin [2, 3].

2. Riccati equation on the set of Toeplitz operators

For $f \in L^1(\mathbb{B}_n, dv_\alpha)$, we define the Berezin transform (symbol) of f to be the function f, i.e.,

$$\widetilde{f}(z) := \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} f(w) \left| k_z^{\alpha}(w) \right|^2 dv_{\alpha}(w) \,. \tag{3}$$

If *f* is bounded, then f is a bounded function on \mathbb{B}_n .

According to the definition of Berezin transform, the mean oscillation of f in the weighted Bergman metric is the function MO(f)(z) defined on \mathbb{B}_n by (see, [6])

$$MO(f)(z) := \widetilde{\left|f\right|^2}(z) - \left|\widetilde{f}(z)\right|^2.$$

For $z \in \mathbb{B}_n$, let φ_z be the automorphism of \mathbb{B}_n such that $\varphi_z(0) = z$ and $\varphi_z = \varphi_z^{-1}$. Thus, we have the change-of-variable formula

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}_{n}} h(\varphi_{z}(w)) \left| k_{z}^{\alpha}(w) \right|^{2} dv_{\alpha}(w) = \int_{\mathbb{B}_{n}} h(w) dv_{\alpha}(w)$$

for every $h \in L^1(\mathbb{B}_n, dv_\alpha)$.

For $f \in L^1(\mathbb{B}_n, dv_\alpha)$, the Berezin transform of Toeplitz operator T_f coincides the Berezin transform of the function f, that is $\widetilde{T}_f(z) = \widetilde{f}(z)$ for all $z \in \mathbb{B}_n$. For more properties and facts of the Berezin transform, see, for example, Englis [5], Nordgren and Rosenthal [15] and Zhu [17].

Recall that $BMO_{\partial} := BMO_{\partial}(\mathbb{B}_n)$ is defined to be the space of functions *f* such that

$$\left\|f\right\|_{BMO_{\partial}} := \sup_{z \in \mathbb{B}_n} MO(f)(z) < +\infty.$$

Let $VMO_{\partial} := VMO_{\partial}(\mathbb{B}_n)$ be the subspace of BMO_{∂} consisting of functions f with

$$\lim_{z \to \partial \mathbb{B}_n} \left(\left| \widetilde{f} \right|^2 (z) - \left| \widetilde{f} (z) \right|^2 \right) = 0.$$

Also, we denote by $BA := BA(\mathbb{B}_n)$ (see [14]) the space of functions *f* with

$$\left\|f\right\|_{BA} := \sup_{z \in \mathbb{B}_n} \left[\widetilde{\left|f\right|^2}(z)\right]^{1/2},$$

and finally, we define $VA := VA(\mathbb{B}_n)$ (see [14]) as the subspace of *BA* consisting of functions *f* satisfying

$$\lim_{z\to\partial\mathbb{B}_n}\widetilde{\left|f\right|^2}(z)=0.$$

It is easy to see that if $f \in VA$ then $f \in VMO_{\partial}$. For each $f \in VA$, it can be obtained by Hölder inequality that

$$\left|\widetilde{f(z)}\right| = \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \left| f(w) \right| \left| k_z(w) \right|^2 dv_\alpha(w) \le \left[\widetilde{\left| f \right|^2}(z) \right]^{1/2} < +\infty.$$

Recall also that $H^{\infty} = H^{\infty}(\mathbb{B}_n)$ is the space of holomorphic functions f on \mathbb{B}_n such that

$$\left\|f\right\|_{H^{\infty}} := \sup_{z \in \mathbb{B}_n} \left|f(z)\right| < +\infty$$

For a function $\varphi \in BMO_{\partial}(\mathbb{B}_n)$, we define the Toeplitz operator T_{φ} with symbol φ on $A_{\alpha}^2(\mathbb{B}_n)$ by

$$T_{\varphi}f = P_{\alpha}(\varphi f) = \int_{\mathbb{B}_{n}} \frac{f(w)\varphi(w)}{(1 - \langle z, w \rangle)^{n+\alpha+1}} dv_{\alpha}(w) \text{ (see (1))}$$

for all $f \in A^2_{\alpha}(\mathbb{B}_n)$. For more information about Toeplitz operators, the reader can find, for example, in [1, 5, 7, 12, 13, 17].

For $\varphi \in H^{\infty}$, T_{φ} is just the operator of multiplication by φ on the space $A_{\alpha}^{2}(\mathbb{B}_{n})$, i.e., $T_{\varphi}f = \varphi f$, $f \in A_{\alpha}^{2}(\mathbb{B}_{n})$. Then we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle T^*_{\varphi} k^{\alpha}_{z}, f \right\rangle &= \left\langle k^{\alpha}_{z}, T_{\varphi} f \right\rangle = \left\langle k^{\alpha}_{z}, \varphi f \right\rangle \\ &= \overline{\left\langle \varphi f, k^{\alpha}_{z} \right\rangle} = \overline{\left(\varphi f\right)(z)} = \left\langle \overline{\varphi(z)} k^{\alpha}_{z}, f \right\rangle, \end{aligned}$$

hence, $T_{\varphi}^* k_z^{\alpha} = \overline{\varphi(z)} k_z^{\alpha}$ for all $z \in \mathbb{B}_n$.

In this section, we study Riccati operator equation (2) on the set of Toeplitz operators $T_{\varphi} \in \mathcal{B}(A^2_{\alpha}(\mathbb{B}_n))$ in terms of Berezin symbols, which firstly studied by Karaev [10] in the Hardy Hilbert space $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ over the unit disc \mathbb{D} of \mathbb{C} . In the sequel, this approach attracted attention of several authors in [7, 8, 11, 12, 14]. The following extension of Engliš's result, [5], is essential in what follows, so, we start with the proof of the following auxiliary lemma.

Lemma 2.1. Let $\varphi \in VMO_{\partial}(\mathbb{B}_n)$. Then

$$\lim_{z \to \partial \mathbb{B}_n} \left\| T_{\varphi} k_z^{\alpha} - \widetilde{\varphi}(z) \, k_z^{\alpha} \right\|_{A^2_{\alpha}(\mathbb{B}_n)} = 0.$$

Proof. Indeed, it is easy to see that

$$\begin{split} \left\| T_{\varphi} k_{z}^{\alpha} - \widetilde{\varphi}\left(z\right) k_{z}^{\alpha} \right\|_{A_{a}^{2}(\mathbb{B}_{n})}^{2} &= \left\langle T_{\varphi} k_{z}^{\alpha} - \widetilde{\varphi}\left(z\right) k_{z}^{\alpha}, T_{\varphi} k_{z}^{\alpha} - \widetilde{\varphi}\left(z\right) k_{\alpha}^{z} \right\rangle \\ &= \left\| T_{\varphi} k_{z}^{\alpha} \right\|^{2} - \overline{\widetilde{\varphi}\left(z\right)} \left\langle T_{\varphi} k_{z}^{\alpha}, k_{z}^{\alpha} \right\rangle - \widetilde{\varphi}\left(z\right) \left\langle k_{z}^{\alpha}, T_{\varphi} k_{z}^{\alpha} \right\rangle + \left| \widetilde{\varphi}\left(z\right) \right|^{2} \end{split}$$

Since $\widetilde{T}_{\varphi}(z) = \widetilde{\varphi}(z)$, we have

$$\left\|T_{\varphi}k_{z}^{\alpha}-\widetilde{\varphi}\left(z\right)k_{z}^{\alpha}\right\|_{A_{\alpha}^{2}(\mathbb{B}_{n})}^{2}=\left\|T_{\varphi}k_{z}^{\alpha}\right\|^{2}-\left|\widetilde{\varphi}\left(z\right)\right|^{2}.$$

On the other hand,

$$\left\|T_{\varphi}k_{z}^{\alpha}\right\|^{2} = \left\|P_{\alpha}\left(\varphi k_{z}^{\alpha}\right)\right\|^{2} \leq \left\|\varphi k_{z}^{\alpha}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{B}_{n}, dV_{\alpha}\right)}^{2} = \widetilde{\left|\varphi\right|^{2}}(z)$$

Then

$$\left\|T_{\varphi}k_{z}^{\alpha}-\widetilde{\varphi}\left(z\right)k_{z}^{\alpha}\right\|_{A_{\alpha}^{2}\left(\mathbb{B}_{n}\right)}^{2}\leq\widetilde{\left|\varphi\right|^{2}}\left(z\right)-\left|\widetilde{\varphi}\left(z\right)\right|^{2}.$$

For $\varphi \in VMO_{\partial}$, we have

$$\widetilde{|\varphi|^2}(z) - |\widetilde{\varphi}(z)|^2 \to 0, \ z \to \partial \mathbb{B}_n$$
 non-tangentially,

which proves the lemma. \Box

In next theorem, we consider the case that Toeplitz operator is a solution of the Riccati equation (2) on the space A^2_{α} (\mathbb{B}_n).

7306

Theorem 2.2. Suppose that $B = T_{\varphi}^*$, $C = T_{\psi}$ such that φ, ψ are nonconstant functions on H^{∞} , and let A and D be bounded linear operators on $A_{\alpha}^2(\mathbb{B}_n)$. If $h \in VA(\mathbb{B}_n)$ and the corresponding Toeplitz operator T_h is a solution of the operator Riccati equation (2), then

$$\lim_{z \to \partial \mathbb{B}_n} \left(\widetilde{A}(z) \, \widetilde{h}^2(z) + \left(\overline{\varphi(z)} - \psi(z) \right) \widetilde{h}(z) - \widetilde{D}(z) \right) = 0.$$
(4)

Proof. In fact, since T_h is a solution of equation (2), then

$$T_h A T_h + T_h T_{\varphi}^* - T_{\psi} T_h - D = 0.$$

Then, $\left[T_hAT_h + T_hT_{\varphi}^* - T_{\psi}T_h - D\right]^{\sim}(z) = 0$ for all $z \in \mathbb{B}_n$, that is

$$\langle (T_hAT_h + T_hT_{\varphi}^* - T_{\psi}T_h - D)k_z^{\alpha}, k_z^{\alpha} \rangle = 0, \ \forall z \in \mathbb{B}_n.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{split} 0 &= \langle T_h A T_h k_z^{\alpha}, k_z^{\alpha} \rangle + \langle T_h T_{\varphi}^* k_z^{\alpha}, k_z^{\alpha} \rangle - \langle T_{\psi} T_h k_z^{\alpha}, k_z^{\alpha} \rangle - \langle D k_z^{\alpha}, k_z^{\alpha} \rangle \\ &= \langle A T_h k_z^{\alpha}, T_h^* k_z^{\alpha} - \widetilde{T}_h^*(\lambda) k_z^{\alpha} \rangle + \widetilde{T}_h(\lambda) \langle A T_h k_z^{\alpha}, k_z^{\alpha} \rangle \\ &+ \langle T_h \left(T_h^* k_z^{\alpha} - \widetilde{T}_{\varphi}^*(\lambda) k_z^{\alpha} \right), k_z^{\alpha} \rangle + \widetilde{T}_{\varphi}^*(z) \widetilde{T}_h(z) \\ &- \langle T_{\psi} \left(T_h k_z^{\alpha} - \widetilde{T}_h(\lambda) k_z^{\alpha} \right), k_z^{\alpha} \rangle - \widetilde{T}_{\psi}(\lambda) \widetilde{T}_h(z) - \widetilde{D}(z) \\ &= \langle A T_h k_z^{\alpha}, T_h^* k_z^{\alpha} - \widetilde{T}_h^*(\lambda) k_z^{\alpha} \rangle \\ &+ \widetilde{T}_h(\lambda) \left[\langle A \left(T_h k_z^{\alpha} - \widetilde{T}_h(\lambda) k_z^{\alpha} \right), k_z^{\alpha} \rangle + \widetilde{T}_{\varphi}^*(\lambda) \widetilde{T}_h(z) - \langle T_h k_z^{\alpha} - \widetilde{T}_h(\lambda) k_z^{\alpha}, T_\psi^* k_z^{\alpha} \rangle \\ &- \widetilde{T}_{\psi}(\lambda) \widetilde{T}_h(z) - \widetilde{D}(z) \\ &= \left[\widetilde{A}(z) \widetilde{h}^2(z) + (\overline{\varphi(z)} - \psi(z)) \widetilde{h}(z) - \widetilde{D}(z) \right] + \langle A T_h k_z^{\alpha}, T_h^* k_z^{\alpha} - \widetilde{\overline{h}}(z) k_z^{\alpha} \rangle \\ &+ \widetilde{h}(z) \langle T_h k_z^{\alpha} - \widetilde{h}(z) k_z^{\alpha}, A^* k_z^{\alpha} \rangle + \langle \overline{\varphi(z)} k_z^{\alpha} - \overline{\psi(z)} k_z^{\alpha}, T_h^* k_z^{\alpha} \rangle, \end{split}$$

which by Lemma 2.1 yields

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \widetilde{A}(z) \widetilde{h}^{2}(z) + \left(\overline{\varphi(z)} - \psi(z) \right) \widetilde{h}(z) - \widetilde{D}(z) \right| &\leq \|AT_{h}\| \left\| T_{h}^{*} k_{z}^{\alpha} - \overline{\widetilde{h}}(z) k_{z}^{\alpha} \right\| \\ &+ \|T_{h}\| \left\| A \right\| \left\| T_{h} k_{z}^{\alpha} - \widetilde{h}(z) k_{z}^{\alpha} \right\| \to 0 \text{ as } z \to \partial \mathbb{B}_{n}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies the desired assertion (4), which proves the theorem. \Box

Corollary 2.3. Suppose that the limits $\widetilde{A}(\xi) := \lim_{z \to \partial \mathbb{B}_n} \widetilde{A}(z)$ and $\widetilde{D}(\xi) := \lim_{z \to \partial \mathbb{B}_n} \widetilde{D}(z)$ exist for almost all points $\xi \in \partial \mathbb{B}_n$, and verify

$$\left(\overline{\varphi}\left(\xi\right) - \psi\left(\xi\right)\right)^2 + 4\widetilde{A}\left(\xi\right)\widetilde{D}\left(\xi\right) = 0\tag{5}$$

for almost all points $\xi \in \partial \mathbb{B}_n$. Let $h \in VA(\mathbb{B}_n)$. If T_h is a solution of Riccati equation (2), then

$$\lim_{z \to \xi \in \partial \mathbb{B}_n} \widetilde{h}^2(z) = -\frac{\widetilde{D}(\xi)}{\widetilde{A}(\xi)}$$

for almost all $\xi \in \partial \mathbb{B}_n$.

Proof. Indeed, since T_h satisfies equation (2), we have obtain by Theorem 2.2 that

$$\lim_{z \to \partial \mathbb{B}_n} \left(\widetilde{A}(z) \, \widetilde{h}^2(z) + \left(\overline{\varphi(z)} - \psi(z) \right) \widetilde{h}(z) - \widetilde{D}(z) \right) = 0.$$

Further,

$$\begin{split} &\lim_{z \to \partial \mathbb{B}_{n}} \widetilde{A}(z) \left[\widetilde{h}^{2}(z) + \frac{\overline{\varphi(z)} - \psi(z)}{\widetilde{A}(z)} \widetilde{h}(z) - \frac{\widetilde{A}(z)\widetilde{D}(z)}{\widetilde{A}^{2}(z)} \right] \\ &= \lim_{z \to \partial \mathbb{B}_{n}} \widetilde{A}(z) \left[\widetilde{h}^{2}(z) + \frac{\overline{\varphi(z)} - \psi(z)}{\widetilde{A}(z)} \widetilde{h}(z) + \frac{\left(\overline{\varphi(z)} - \psi(z)\right)^{2}}{4\widetilde{A}^{2}(z)} \right] \\ &= \lim_{z \to \partial \mathbb{B}_{n}} \widetilde{A}(z) \left(\widetilde{h}(z) + \frac{\overline{\varphi(z)} - \psi(z)}{2\widetilde{A}(z)} \right)^{2} = 0. \end{split}$$

In particular,

$$\lim_{z \to \xi \in \partial \mathbb{B}_n} \widetilde{h}(z) = \frac{\psi(\xi) - \overline{\varphi(\xi)}}{2\widetilde{A}(\xi)},$$

hence we have that

$$\lim_{z \to \xi \in \partial \mathbb{B}_n} \widetilde{h}^2(z) = -\frac{D(\xi)}{\widetilde{A}(\xi)}$$

for almost all $\xi \in \partial \mathbb{B}_n$, as desired. \Box

3. Invariant subspaces of compact Toeplitz operators on A^2_{α} (**B**_n)

Note that the solvability of the Riccati operator equation in concrete operator classes is an important problem of operator theory in the Hilbert space *H*. For example, the existence of a nontrivial solution of equation (2) for fixed $A \in \mathcal{B}(H)$, B = D = 0 and C = A on the set \mathcal{P}_h of all orthogonal projections $P \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ is equivalent to the positive solution of the famous Invariant Subspace Problem in the infinite dimensional separable complex Hilbert space, since $TE \subset E$ if and only if

$$(I-P_E)TP_E = 0, (6)$$

where $P_E : H \to E$ is an orthogonal projection onto the closed subspace $E \subset H$. In this section, we discuss the structure of invariant subspaces of Toeplitz operator T_f on the weighted Bergman space A^2_{α} (\mathbb{B}_n) in terms of Berezin symbols.

For any $p \ge 1$, VMO_{α}^{p} denotes the subspace BMO_{α}^{p} consisting of functions f such that

$$\lim_{z\to\partial\mathbb{B}_n}\left\|f\circ\varphi_z-\widetilde{f}(z)\right\|_{\alpha,p}=0.$$

The following theorem is contained in [6].

Theorem 3.1. ([6]) Suppose $f \in VMO_{\alpha}^{1}$ and $|f| / (1 - |z|)^{4(n+\alpha+1)}$ is bounded on the unit ball \mathbb{B}_{n} . Then T_{f} is compact operator on $A_{\alpha}^{2}(\mathbb{B}_{n})$ if and only if $\tilde{f}(z) \to 0$ as $z \to \partial \mathbb{B}_{n}$.

Our next result is the following.

Theorem 3.2. Suppose $f \in VMO_{\alpha'}^1 |f| / (1 - |z|)^{4(n+\alpha+1)}$ is bounded on \mathbb{B}_n and $\tilde{f}(z) \to 0$ as $z \to \partial \mathbb{B}_n$. Let $E \subset A_{\alpha}^2(\mathbb{B}_n)$ be a closed subspace of $A_{\alpha}^2(\mathbb{B}_n)$. If $T_{\varphi}E \subset E$, i.e., E is invariant under the Toeplitz operator T_f , then

$$\left| \left(T_f K_z^{\alpha, E} \right)(z) - \widetilde{f}(z) K_z^{\alpha, E}(z) \right| = o\left(\left(1 - |z|^2 \right)^{-(n+\alpha+1)} \right) \text{ as } z \to \partial \mathbb{B}_n, \tag{7}$$

where $K_z^{\alpha,E}$ denotes the reproducing kernel of the subspace *E*, which is equal $P_E K_z^{\alpha}$.

Proof. Suppose $T_f E \subset E$. Then it means that $(I - P_E)T_f P_E = 0$, and hence $P_E T_f P_E - T_f P_E = 0$, that is P_E is a solution of equation (6) where $T = T_f$. It is then clear from the above equality that

$$\widetilde{T_f P_E}(z) - \widetilde{P_E T_f P_E}(z) = 0$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{B}_n$. So, we have that

$$0 = \left\langle P_E k_z^{\alpha}, T_f^* k_z^{\alpha} \right\rangle - \left\langle T_f P_E k_z^{\alpha}, P_E k_z^{\alpha} \right\rangle$$
$$= \left\langle P_E k_z^{\alpha}, T_{\overline{f}} k_z^{\alpha} - \overline{\widetilde{f}}(z) k_z^{\alpha} \right\rangle + \frac{\widetilde{f}(z)}{K_z^{\alpha}(z)} \left\langle K_z^{\alpha, E}, K_z^{\alpha} \right\rangle - \frac{1}{K_z^{\alpha}(z)} \left\langle T_f K_z^{\alpha, E}, K_z^{\alpha, E} \right\rangle.$$

By considering that $T_f E \subset E$, and therefore $T_f K_z^{\alpha, E} \in E$, we have that

$$\left\langle T_f K_z^{\alpha,E}, K_z^{\alpha,E} \right\rangle = \left(T_f K_z^{\alpha,E} \right) (z)$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{B}_n$. On the other hand, we obtain from Theorem 3.1 and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality that

$$\begin{split} &\lim_{z \to \xi \in \partial \mathbb{B}_{n}} \frac{1}{K_{z}^{\alpha}\left(z\right)} \left| \left(T_{f}K_{z}^{\alpha,E}\right)\left(z\right) - \widetilde{f}\left(z\right)K_{z}^{\alpha,E}\left(z\right) \right| \\ &= \lim_{z \to \xi \in \partial \mathbb{B}_{n}} \left(1 - |z|^{2}\right)^{\left(n+\alpha+1\right)} \left| \left(T_{f}K_{z}^{\alpha,E}\right)\left(z\right) - \widetilde{f}\left(z\right)K_{z}^{\alpha,E}\left(z\right) \right| \\ &= \lim_{z \to \xi \in \partial \mathbb{B}_{n}} \left| \left\langle P_{E}k_{z}^{\alpha}, T_{\overline{f}}k_{z}^{\alpha} - \widetilde{\overline{f}}\left(z\right)k_{z}^{\alpha} \right\rangle \right| \\ &\leq \lim_{z \to \xi \in \partial \mathbb{B}_{n}} \left\| P_{E}k_{z}^{\alpha} \right\| \left\| T_{\overline{f}}k_{z}^{\alpha} - \widetilde{\overline{f}}\left(z\right)k_{z}^{\alpha} \right\| \\ &\leq \lim_{z \to \xi \in \partial \mathbb{B}_{n}} \left\langle T_{\overline{f}}k_{z}^{\alpha} - \widetilde{\overline{f}}\left(z\right)k_{z}^{\alpha}, T_{\overline{f}}k_{z}^{\alpha} - \widetilde{\overline{f}}\left(z\right)k_{z}^{\alpha} \right\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \lim_{z \to \xi \in \partial \mathbb{B}_{n}} \left(\left\| T_{\overline{f}}k_{z}^{\alpha} \right\|^{2} - \left| \widetilde{f}\left(z\right) \right|^{2} \right)^{1/2} \text{ (since } Tk_{z}^{\alpha} - \widetilde{T}\left(z\right)k_{z}^{\alpha} \bot k_{z}^{\alpha} \text{ for any } T \in B\left(A_{\alpha}^{2}\left(\mathbb{B}_{n}\right)\right)). \end{split}$$

Since $\lim_{z\to\partial\mathbb{B}_n} \widetilde{f}(z) = 0$, by Theorem 3.1, T_f is compact on $A^2_{\alpha}(\mathbb{B}_n)$. On the other hand, the normalized reproducing kernel k^{α}_z converges weakly to 0 as z tends $\partial\mathbb{B}_n$, and therefore $\left\|T_{\overline{f}}k^{\alpha}_z\right\| \to 0$ as $z \to \partial\mathbb{B}_n$. Thus, we deduce from the latter inequality that

$$\lim_{z\to\partial\mathbb{B}_n}\left(1-|z|^2\right)^{(n+\alpha+1)}\left|\left(T_fK_z^{\alpha,E}\right)(z)-\widetilde{f}(z)\,K_z^{\alpha,E}(z)\right|=0,$$

which gives (7). The proof is completed. \Box

References

- [1] S. Axler, D. Zheng, The Berezin transform on the Toeplitz algebra, Studia Math. 127 (1998), 113–136.
- [2] F. A. Berezin, Convariant and contravariant symbols for operators, Math. USSR-Izv. 6 (1972), 1117–1151.

- [3] F. A. Berezin, *Quantization*, Math. USSR-Izv. 8 (1974), 1109–1163.
- [4] I. Chalendar, E. Fricain, M. Gürdal, M. T. Karaev, Compactness and Berezin symbols, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) 78 (2012), 315-329.
- [5] M. Engliš, Toeplitz operators and the Berezin transform on H², Linear Algebra Appl. 223/224 (1995), 171–204.
- [6] X. Feng, K. Zhang, J. Dong, X. Liu, C. Guan, Multiplication operator with BMO symbols and Berezin transform, J. Funct. Spaces 2015, Article ID 754646, 4 pages http://dx.doi.org/10.1255/2015/754646.
- [7] M. Gürdal, F. Şöhret, Some results for Toeplitz operators on the Bergman space, Appl. Math. Comput. 218 (2011), 789–793.
- [8] M. Gürdal, U. Yamancı, M. Garayev, Some results for operators on a model space, Front. Math. China 13 (2018), 287-300.
- [9] H. Hedenmalm, B. Karenblum, K. Zhu, Theory of Bergman spaces, Graduate Texts in Math., vol. 199, Springer-Veuleg, 2000. [10] M. T. Karaev, On the Riccati equations, Monatsh. Math. 155 (2008), 161-166.
- [11] M. T. Karaev, M. Gürdal, M. B. Huban, Reproducing kernels, Englis algebras and some applications, Studia Math. 232 (2016), 113–141.
- [12] M. T. Karaev, M. Gürdal, On the Berezin symbols and Toeplitz Operators, Extracta Math. 25 (2010), 83-102.
- [13] S. Kılıç, The Berezin symbol and multipliers of functional Hilbert spaces, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 123 (1995), 3687–3691.
- [14] J. Liu, H. Xie, Some properties of solutions to the Riccati equations in connection with Bergman spaces, Rocky Mountain J. Math. 50 (2020), 651-657.
- [15] E. Nordgren, P. Rosenthal, Boundary values of Berezin symbols, in: Oper. Theory Adv. Appl., Birkhäuser, 73 (1994), 362-368.
- [16] S. Saltan, On the weak limit of compact operators on the reproducing kernel Hilbert space and related questions, An. St., Univ. Ovidius Constanta 24 (2016), 253-260.
- [17] K. Zhu, Operator Theory in Function Spaces, Second edition, Math. Surveys and Monographs, 138, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 2007.