International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics

Volume 25 No. 1 2005, 21-28

BEST APPROXIMATION IN A HILBERT SPACE

E. Aghdassi^{1 §}, S.F. Rzaev² ^{1,2}Faculty of Mathematical Sciences University of Tabriz Tabriz, IRAN ¹e-mail: esaghdassi@tabrizu.ac.ir ²e-mail: rzseymur@hotmail.com

Abstract: In this paper we consider the shift operator on Hilbert spaces and by using this operator we define the modulus of continuity of fractional index, including relation to the K-functional and we prove the fractional analog we direct and inverse theorems of approximation theory.

AMS Subject Classification: 42C10, 43A77, 43A90 **Key Words:** Hilbert space, self-adjoint operator, *k*-functional

*

Let $A: D(A) \subseteq H \to H$ be a self-adjoint operator on a separable Hilbert space H, which possesses a complete orthogonal system $\{w_1, w_2, \ldots\}$ of eigenvectors with

$$Aw_k = \lambda_k w_k$$
 for all $n = 1, 2, \ldots$,

where

$$0 < c \le |\lambda_1| \le |\lambda_2| \le \dots \le |\lambda_n| \le \dots \to \infty$$
, as $n \to \infty$.

It is known that (for example see [6])

$$A^{\alpha}f := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k^{\alpha} \langle f, w_k \rangle w_k, \quad \alpha > 0 \,,$$

where $f \in D(A^{\alpha})$ iff $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_k^{\alpha} \langle f, w_k \rangle|^2 < \infty$.

Received: August 10, 2005 © 2005, Academic Publications Ltd. [§]Correspondence author

E. Aghdassi, S.F. Rzaev

Since $\{w_n\}$ is complete,

$$f = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \langle f, w_k \rangle w_k \quad \text{for all } f \in H.$$
(1)

Let $H_n = \text{span}\{w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_n\}$ which is an *n*-dimensional subspace of a real Hilbert space H and let $S_n(f) = \sum_{k=1}^n \langle f, w_k \rangle w_k$. It is well-known that for $f \in H$ the element $S_n(f)$ is best approximation for f from H_n (Toepler's Best Approximation Theorem, see [5] or [3] p. 45, [1] p. 102) given by

$$E_n(f) := E_{H_n}(f) = \inf_{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k} \|f - \sum_{k=1}^n c_k w_k\|$$
$$= \|f - S_n(f)\| = \left(\|f\|^2 - \sum_{k=1}^n a_k^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \left(\sum_{k=n+1}^\infty a_k^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

where $a_k = \langle f, w_k \rangle$.

Due to specific properties of Hilbert spaces we have the following theorem.

Theorem 1. For the element $A^{\alpha}f \in H(\alpha > 0)$ the element $A^{\alpha}S_n(f)$ is the best approximation for f from H_n such that

$$E_n(A^{\alpha}f) = \|A^{\alpha}f - A^{\alpha}S_n(f)\| \\ = \left((\|A^{\alpha}f\|)^2 - \sum_{k=1}^n a_k^2 \lambda_k^{2\alpha} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \left(\sum_{k=n+1}^\infty a_k^2 \lambda_k^{2\alpha} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

The proof of the case is similar to the proof of Toepler's Theorem (see [5]) and will not be repeated here.

Corollary 2. Let $w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_n \in H_n$ and $f \in D(A^{\alpha})$, Then

$$\sum_{k=1}^n a_k^2 \lambda_k^{2\alpha} \le \|A^\alpha f\|^2 \,.$$

Best approximations in Hilbert spaces for operator A^{α} can be obtained easily, i.e. the best approximation $A^{\alpha}S_n$ of $A^{\alpha}f$ from H_n is given by the formula

$$A^{\alpha}S_n = \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k^{\alpha} \langle f, w_k \rangle w_k.$$

Note. The best L_2 -approximation of functions is a part of the general theory of best approximation in Hilbert spaces.

It is known that if $f \in L_2$ has Fourier series representation such as $f = \frac{a_0}{2} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k \cos kx + b_k \sin kx$ then s_n is the best approximation to f and s'_n is the best approximation to $f' \in L_2$. But this is not true for Fourier Legendre series in L_2 .

Theorem 3. Let $f \in D(A^{\alpha})$, then the inequality $E_n(f) \leq \frac{E_n(A^{\alpha}f)}{|\lambda_{n+1}|^{\alpha}}$ holds. Indeed, by Theorem 1,

$$E_n(A^{\alpha}f) = \left(\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} a_k^2 \lambda_k^{2\alpha}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \ge \left(\lambda_{n+1}^{2\alpha} \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} a_k^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = |\lambda_{n+1}^{\alpha}| E_n(f).$$

From this the theorem is proved.

Corollary 4. If $f \in D(A^{\alpha})$, then $E_n(f) \leq \frac{\|A^{\alpha}f\|}{|\lambda_{n+1}|^{\alpha}}$.

This inequality follows from properties $E_n(f) \leq ||f||$.

Theorem 5. If $0 < \alpha < \beta$, then $||A^{\alpha}f|| \le ||f||^{1-\frac{\alpha}{\beta}} ||A^{\beta}f||^{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}}$.

The proof is the same as Theorem 1 in [2] (Landau inequality for derivative). From this and by Theorem 1, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 6. If $0 < \alpha < \beta$, then $E_n(A^{\alpha}f) \leq [E_n(f)]^{1-\frac{\alpha}{\beta}} [E_n(A^{\beta}f)]^{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}}$.

Using Theorem 3, and the above inequality we get

Corollary 7. If $0 < \alpha < \beta$, then $E_n(A^{\alpha}f) \leq \frac{E_n(A^{\beta}f)}{|\lambda_{n+1}|^{\beta-\alpha}}$.

Corollary 8. Let the polynomial $P_n(f)$ be the best approximation for $f \in H$, then $\forall \alpha > 0$ $E_n(f) \leq \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \frac{\|A^{\alpha}P_{2^{\nu+1}n}\|}{|\lambda_{2^{\nu}\nu n+1}|^{\alpha}}$.

Proof. By Corollary 4 we have

$$||P_{2n}(f) - P_n(P_{2n}(f))|| \le \frac{||A^{\alpha}P_{2n}(f)||}{|\lambda_{n+1}|^{\alpha}}.$$

On the other hand

$$\|P_{2n}(f) - P_n(P_{2n}(f))\| = \|(f - P_n(P_{2n}(f))) - (f - P_{2n}(f))\| \\\ge \|f - P_n(P_{2n}(f))\| - \|f - P_{2n}(f)\|.$$

Since the polynomial $P_n(P_{2n}(f))$ is the best approximation of order *n*, then $||f - P_{n-1}(P_{2n-1}(f))|| = E_n(f)$ because

$$0 \le E_n(f) - E_{2n}(f) \le \frac{\|A^{\alpha} P_{2n}(f)\|}{|\lambda_{n+1}|^{\alpha}}.$$

In this relation instead of n we write $2^{\nu}n$ and we consider $\sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \{E_{2^{\nu}n} - E_{2^{\nu+1}n}\} = E_n(f)$ then we have Corollary 8.

Theorem 9. Let $f \in D(A^{\alpha})$, $\alpha > 0$, then

$$E_n(f) \le |\lambda_{n+1}|^{-\alpha} K_\alpha \left(A^\alpha f; \frac{1}{|\lambda_{n+1}|} \right) ,$$

where $K_{\alpha}(f;t) = \inf_{g \in D(A^{\alpha})} \{ \|f - g\| + t^{\alpha} \|A^{\alpha}g\| \}$

Proof. $E_n(f) \leq E_n(f-g) + E_n(g) \leq ||f-g|| + E_n(g)$. Let $g \in D(A^{\alpha})$, then by Corollary 4

$$\begin{split} E_n(f) &\leq \|f - g\| + \frac{E_n(A^{\alpha}g)}{|\lambda_{n+1}|^{\alpha}} \\ \Rightarrow &E_n(f) \leq \inf_{g \in D(A^{\alpha})} \left\{ \|f - g\| + \frac{E_n(A^{\alpha}g)}{|\lambda_{n+1}|^{\alpha}} \right\} = K_{\alpha} \left(f; \frac{1}{|\lambda_{n+1}|}\right) \\ \Rightarrow &\frac{E_n(A^{\alpha}f)}{|\lambda_{n+1}|^{\alpha}} \leq \frac{K_{\alpha} \left(A^{\alpha}f; \frac{1}{|\lambda_{n+1}|}\right)}{|\lambda_{n+1}|^{\alpha}} \\ \Rightarrow &E_n(f) \leq |\lambda_{n+1}|^{-\alpha} K_{\alpha} \left(A^{\alpha}f; \frac{1}{|\lambda_{n+1}|}\right). \end{split}$$

Lemma 10. (Inequality of Bernstein's Type) Let $P_n(f) = \sum_{k=1}^n c_k w_k$, then $||A^{\alpha}P_n|| \leq \lambda_n^{\alpha} ||P_n||$.

Proof. Indeed $||P_n(f)|| = \left(\sum_{k=1}^n c_k^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $A^{\alpha}P_n(f) = \sum_{k=1}^n c_k \lambda_k^{\alpha} w_k$. Then $||A^{\alpha}P_n(f)||^2 = \sum_{k=1}^n c_k^2 \lambda_k^{2\alpha} \le \lambda_n^{2\alpha} ||P_n||^2 \Rightarrow ||A^{\alpha}P_n(f)|| \le \lambda_n^{\alpha} ||P_n||$.

Let the function $\psi_k(h)$, $0 < h \leq h_0$ (k = 0, 1, 2, ...) satisfies the following condition:

 \exists number $m_1 > 0, m_2 \le 0$ and constant $c_1 > 0, c_2 > 0$, such that $|1 - \psi_k(h)| \le c_1(kh)^{m_1}$. (2)

all the k, and h; and also

$$|1 - \psi_k(h)| \ge c_2(kh)^{m_2}$$
 as $0 \le kh \le \mu_0$, (3)

from (2) and (3) we have $\psi_0(h) \equiv 1$, also $\lim_{h\to 0} \psi_k(h) = 1$.

Now we introduce in H a family of bounded linear operator $\{T_h\}$ defined as follows

$$T_h f \equiv f_h = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \psi_k(h)(f; w_k) w_k \,. \tag{4}$$

From this definition we have:

- (1) $||T_h f|| \le ||f||, \ 0 < h < h_0.$
- (2) $||f T_h f|| \to 0, h \to 0.$
- (3) $A(T_h f) = T_h(Af).$

Now we define the modulus of continuity of fractional index: Let

$$\Delta_h^r := (E - T_h)^r = \sum_{k=0}^\infty (-1)^k \begin{pmatrix} r \\ k \end{pmatrix} (T_h)^k \quad (r > 0)$$

then

$$\Delta_h^r f = \sum_{k=0}^\infty (1 - \psi_k(h))^r (f; w_k) w_k = \sum_{k=1}^\infty (1 - \psi_k(h))^r (f; w_k) w_k.$$

Definition. $\omega_r(f;\tau) := \sup \{ \|\Delta_h^r f\| : 0 < h \le \tau \}$, then $\omega_r(f,\tau)$ is called the modulus of continuity of fractional index.

Lemma 11. Let the function $\psi_k(h)$ satisfies the condition (2), then

$$\left\{\sum_{\nu=n}^{2n-1} a_{\nu}^{2}(f)\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C(\alpha)\omega_{r}\left(f, \frac{\mu_{0}}{2\alpha\pi}\right) ,$$

where $\alpha \ge \max\left(1, \frac{\mu_0}{2h_0}\right), C(\alpha) = \left(\frac{2\alpha}{\mu_0}\right)^{rm_2} \cdot \frac{c_2}{r}.$

Proof. From (1) and (4) we have

$$\Delta_h^r f = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [\psi_k(h) - 1]^r (f, \omega_k) \omega_k ,$$

and by virtue of Parseval's equality

$$\|\Delta_h^r f\|^2 = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |1 - \psi_k(h)|^{2r} a_k^2(f) \,. \tag{5}$$

From this and (3)

E. Aghdassi, S.F. Rzaev

$$\begin{split} \sum_{k=n}^{2n-2} a_k^2(f) &\leq (2\alpha \mu_0^{-1})^{2rm_2} \sum_{k=n}^{2n-1} \left(k \frac{\mu_0}{2\alpha n} \right)^{2rm_2} a_k^2(f) \\ &\leq (2\alpha \mu_0^{-1})^{2rm_2} C_2^{-2r} \sum_{k=n}^{2n-1} |1 - \psi_n \left(\frac{\mu_0}{2\alpha n} \right)|^{2r} a_k^2(f) \\ &\leq C^2(\alpha) \|\Delta_{\frac{r_\mu}{2\alpha h}}^r f\|^2 \leq C^2(\alpha) \omega_r(f, \frac{\mu_0}{2\alpha n}) \end{split}$$

Thus the proof is complete.

Theorem 12. Under the condition in Lemma 11 the inequality

$$E_n^2(f) \le 2C(\alpha) \sum_{m=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m} \omega_r^2(f, \frac{\mu_0}{\alpha m})$$

is true.

Proof. Indeed,

$$\sum_{m=n}^{\infty} a_m^2(f) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=2^k n}^{2^{k+1}n-1} a_m^2 \le C^2(\alpha) \sum \omega_r^2(f, \frac{\mu_0}{2^{k+1}n\alpha}),$$

from this and by virtue of Toepler's Theorem we have

$$E_n^2(f) \le C^2(\alpha) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \omega_k^r(f, \frac{\mu_0}{2^k n \alpha}).$$
(6)

Since, by virtue of properties $\omega_r(f,\tau)$, for any $k \ge 1$

$$\omega_r^2(f, \frac{\mu_0}{2^k n \alpha} \le 2 \sum_{m=2^{k-1}n+1}^{2^k n} \frac{1}{m} \omega_r^2(f, \frac{\mu_0}{m \alpha}).$$

Thus

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \omega_r^2(f, \frac{\mu_0}{2^k n \alpha}) \le 2 \sum_{m=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m} \omega_r^2(f, \frac{\mu_0}{m \alpha}).$$

$$\tag{7}$$

From (6) and (7) we have Theorem 12.

The following theorem is analogous to lemma of S.B. Stechkin in ([4], Lemma 1).

Theorem 13. Let the function $\{\psi_k(n)\}$ is satisfies the condition (2) then for $r \geq \frac{1}{2m_1}$ and $h < \tau^{-1}$ $(0 < \tau \leq 1)$

$$\omega_r^2(f,\tau) \le c_3 n^{-2rm_1} \sum_{k=1}^n k^{2rm_1-1} E_k(f),$$

where $c_3 = 2rm_1c_1^{2r} + 2^{rm_1+2r}$.

Proof. From (5) and the condition $|\psi_k(n)| \leq 1$ and (1) we have for all n and h > 0

$$\|\Delta_h^r f\|^2 \le c_1^{2r} h^{2rm_1} \sum_{k=1}^n k^{2rm_1} a_k^2(f) + 2^{2r} \sum_{k=n+1}^\infty c_k^2(f).$$

If $n \leq \frac{1}{\tau}$, then $2^{2rm_1} \leq n^{-2rm_1}$. From this and by Toepler's Theorem for $n \leq \frac{1}{\tau}$. We have

$$\omega_r^2(f,\tau) \le c_1^{2r} n^{-2rm_1} \sum_{k=1}^n k^{rm_1} a_k^2(f) + 2^{2r} E_{n+1}(f) \,. \tag{8}$$

Now by Toepler's Theorem we get

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} k^{2rm_1} a_k^2(f) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} k^{2rm_1} [E_k^2(f) - E_{k+1}^2(f)]$$

$$\leq \sum_{k=1}^{n} [k^{2rm_1} - (k-1)^{2rm_1}] E_k^2(f) \leq 2rm_1 \sum_{k=1}^{n} k^{2rm_1 - 1} E_k^2(f). \quad (9)$$

Also

$$E_{n+1}^2(f) \le 2^{2rm_1} n^{-2rm_1} \sum_{k=1}^n k^{2rm_1-1} E_k^2(f) \,. \tag{10}$$

From (8), (9) and (10) we have the theorem.

Finally, we note that under some additional conditions all the theorems can be proved in a normed space.

References

[1] I.K. Dauravet, Introduction to the Approximation Theory of Functions, Leningrad (1977), In Russian.

- [2] I.Y. Lyubich, On inequality between ordered linear operators, *Izvestiya AN SSSR, Ser. Matem.*, 24 (1960), 825-864.
- [3] I.P. Natanson, Constructive Function Theory, Volume 2: Approximation in Mean, Frederie Unqcor Publishing Co. (1965).
- [4] S.B. Stechkin, About the Kolmogorov-Seliverstov Theorem's, *Izvestiya AN SSSR*, Ser. Matem., 17 (1953).
- [5] A. Toepler, Bemekenswerte Eigenschaften der Periodisches Reihen, (Remarkable Properties of Periodic Series), Wiener Akad, Anz. (1876).
- [6] E. Zeidler, Applied Functional Analysis: Application to Mathematical Physics, Applied Mathematical Sciences, Volume 108, Springer-Verlag, New York (1995).