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## 1.Introduction

Boundary value problems with a spectral parameter in equations and boundary conditions form an important part of spectral theory of operators. Many studies have been devoted to boundary value problems with a spectral parameter in boundary conditions (see [1-5]).

In this paper, an operator which has the same eigenvalue on the problem that is discussed in terms of boundary value problem and is introduced in the space $l_{w}^{2}(\mathbb{N})$ has been constructed. Then we obtained the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of operator generated by boundary value problem.

A matrix of the form of an infinite Jacobi matrix is defined by

$$
J=\left[\begin{array}{cccccccc}
b_{0} & a_{0} & 0 & 0 & 0 & . & . & . \\
a_{0} & b_{1} & a_{1} & 0 & 0 & . & . & . \\
0 & a_{1} & b_{2} & a_{2} & 0 & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & .
\end{array}\right],
$$

where $a_{n} \neq 0$ and $\operatorname{Im} a_{n}=\operatorname{Im} b_{n}=0(n \in \mathbb{N})$. For all sequence $y=\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ $(n \in \mathbb{N})$ composed of complex numbers $y_{0}, y_{1}, \ldots$ denote by $l y$ sequence whose components $(l y)_{n}(n \in \mathbb{N})$ is defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (l y)_{0}:=\frac{1}{w_{0}}(J y)_{0}=\frac{1}{w_{0}}\left(b_{0} y_{0}+a_{0} y_{1}\right) \\
& (l y)_{n}:=\frac{1}{w_{n}}(J y)_{n}=\frac{1}{w_{n}}\left(a_{n-1} y_{n-1}+b_{n} y_{n}+a_{n} y_{n+1}\right), n \geq 1
\end{aligned}
$$

where $w_{n}>0(n \in \mathbb{N})$. For two arbitrary sequences $y=\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ and $z=\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ Wronskian of them is defined by

$$
W_{n}(y, z)=[y, \bar{z}]_{n}=a_{n}\left(y_{n} z_{n-1}-y_{n+1} z_{n}\right)(n \in \mathbb{N})
$$

Then for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=0}^{n}\left\{w_{j}(l y)_{j} \bar{z}_{j}-w_{j} y_{j}(l \bar{z})_{j}\right\}=-[y, z]_{n} \quad(n \in \mathbb{N}) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

equality is called Green's formula.
To pass from the matrix $J$ to operators let's construct Hilbert space $l_{w}^{2}(\mathbb{N})$ $\left(w:=\left\{w_{n}\right\} \quad n \in \mathbb{N}\right)$ composed of all complex sequences $y=\left\{y_{n}\right\} \quad(n \in \mathbb{N})$ provided $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} w_{n}\left|y_{n}\right|^{2}<\infty$, with the inner product $(y, z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} w_{n} y_{n} \bar{z}_{n}$. Let's denote with $D$ the set of $y=\left\{y_{n}\right\} \quad(n \in \mathbb{N})$ sequences in $l_{w}^{2}(\mathbb{N})$ providing $l y \in l_{w}^{2}(\mathbb{N})$. Define $L$ on $D$ being $L y=l y$. For all $y, z \in D$, we obtain existing and being finite of the limit $[y, z]_{\infty}=\lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty}[y, z]_{n}$ from (1.1). Therefore, passing to the limit as $n \longrightarrow \infty$ in (1.1) it is obtained

$$
\begin{equation*}
(L y, z)-(y, L z)=-[y, z]_{\infty} . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In $l_{w}^{2}(\mathbb{N})$ we consider the linear set $D_{0}^{\prime}$ consisting of finite vector having only finite many nonzero components. We denote the restriction of $L$ operator in $D_{0}^{\prime}$ by $L_{0}^{\prime}$. It is clear from (1.2) that $L_{0}^{\prime}$ operator is symmetric. The clousure of $L_{0}^{\prime}$ operator is denoted by $L_{0}$. The domain of $L_{0}$ operator is $D_{0}$ and it consists the vector of $y \in D$ satisfying the condition $[y, z]_{\infty}=0 \quad \forall z \in D$. The operator $L_{0}$ is a closed symmetric operator with defect index $(0,0)$ and (1.1). Moreover $L=L_{0}^{*}$ (see $\left.[1]-[4],[6]-[9]\right)$. The operators $L_{0}, L$ are called respectively the minimal and maximal operators. The operator $L_{0}$ is a self adjoint operator for defect index $(0,0)$. That is $L_{0}^{*}=L_{0}=L$.

Let the solution of equation of

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{n-1} y_{n-1}+b_{n} y_{n}+a_{n} y_{n+1}=\lambda w_{n} y_{n} \quad(n=1,2, \ldots) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

satisfying initial conditions of

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{0}(\lambda)=1, P_{1}(\lambda)=\frac{\lambda w_{0}-b_{0}}{a_{0}}, Q_{0}(\lambda)=0, Q_{1}(\lambda)=\frac{1}{a_{0}} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

be $P(\lambda)=\left\{P_{n}(\lambda)\right\}$ and $Q(\lambda)=\left\{Q_{n}(\lambda)\right\}$ where the function $P_{n}(\lambda)$ is called the first kind polynomial of degree $n$ in $\lambda$ and the function $Q_{n}(\lambda)$ is called the second kind polynomial of degree $n-1$ in $\lambda$. For $n \geq 1 P(\lambda)$ is a solution of $(J y)_{n}=\lambda w_{n} y_{n}$ is $P_{n}(\lambda)$. However because of $(J Q)_{0}=b_{0} Q_{0}+a_{0} Q_{1}=$ $b_{0} 0+a_{0} \frac{1}{a_{0}}=1 \neq 0=\lambda Q_{0}, Q(\lambda)$ is not a solution of $(J Q)_{n}=\lambda w_{n} Q_{n}$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and under boundary condition $y_{-1}=0$, the equation $(J y)_{n}=\lambda w_{n} y_{n}$ is equivalent to (1.3). The Wronskian of the solutions $y=\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ and $z=\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ of the equation (1.3) is as follows

$$
W_{n}(y, z):=a_{n}\left(y_{n} z_{n+1}-y_{n+1} z_{n}\right)=[y, \bar{z}]_{n},(n \in I N)
$$

The Wronskian of the two solutions of (1.3) does not depend on $n$, and two solutions of this equations is linearly indepent if only if their Wronskian is nonzero. From Wronskian constacy, $W_{0}(P, Q)=1$ is obtained from the condition (1.4). Consquently, $P(\lambda)$ and $Q(\lambda)$ form a fundamental system of solutions (1.3).

Suppose that the minimal symmetric operator $L_{0}$ has defect index $(1,1)$ so that the Weyl limit circle case holds for the expression ly (see[1] - [4], [7] - [9]). As the defect index of $L_{0}$ is $(1,1)$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ the solutions of $P(\lambda)$ and $Q(\lambda)$ belong to $l_{w}^{2}(\mathbb{N})$. The solutions of $u=\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ and $v=\left\{v_{n}\right\}$ of the equality (1.3) be $u=P(0)$ and $v=Q(0)$ satisfying the initial condition of

$$
u_{0}=1, u_{1}=-\frac{b_{0}}{a_{0}}, v_{0}=0, v_{1}=\frac{1}{a_{0}}
$$

while $\lambda=0$. In addition it is $u, v \in D$ and

$$
(J u)_{n}=0, \quad(n \in I N),(J v)_{n}=0, n \geq 1
$$

Lemma 1. For arbitrary vectors $y=\left\{y_{n}\right\} \in D$ and $z=\left\{z_{n}\right\} \in D$ it is

$$
[y, z]_{n}=[y, u]_{n}[\bar{z}, v]_{n}-[y, v]_{n}[\bar{z}, u]_{n}, \quad(n \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{\infty\})
$$

Theorem 2. The domain $D_{0}$ of the operator $L_{0}$ consists precisely of those vectors $y \in D$ satisfying the following boundary conditions

$$
[y, u]_{\infty}=[y, v]_{\infty}=0
$$

Consider boundary value problem

$$
\begin{align*}
& (l y)_{n}=\lambda y_{n} \quad y \in D, \quad n \geq 1,  \tag{1.5}\\
& y_{0}+h y_{-1}=0, \quad \operatorname{Im} h>0 \tag{1.6}
\end{align*}
$$

for the following difference expression

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (l y)_{0}:=\frac{1}{w_{0}}(J y)_{0}=\frac{1}{w_{0}}\left(b_{0} y_{0}+a_{0} y_{1}\right) \\
& (l y)_{n}:=\frac{1}{w_{n}}(J y)_{n}=\frac{1}{w_{n}}\left(a_{n-1} y_{n-1}+b_{n} y_{n}+a_{n} y_{n+1}\right), n \geq 1
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\lambda$ is spectral parameter and $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{1}^{\prime}, \alpha_{2}^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\alpha$ is defined by

$$
\alpha:=\left|\begin{array}{ll}
\alpha_{1}^{\prime} & \alpha_{1} \\
\alpha_{2}^{\prime} & \alpha_{2}
\end{array}\right|=\alpha_{1}^{\prime} \alpha_{2}-\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}^{\prime}>0 .
$$

Let's suppose that the followings

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{\infty}(y) & :=\alpha_{1}[y, v]_{\infty}-\alpha_{2}[y, u]_{\infty} \\
M_{\infty}^{\prime}(y) & :=\alpha_{1}^{\prime}[y, v]_{\infty}-\alpha_{2}^{\prime}[y, u]_{\infty} \\
N_{1}^{0}(y) & :=y_{-1} \\
N_{2}^{0}(y) & :=y_{0} \\
N_{1}^{\infty}(y) & :=[y, v]_{\infty} \\
N_{2}^{\infty}(y) & :=[y, u]_{\infty} \\
M_{0}(y) & :=N_{2}^{0}(y)+h N_{1}^{0}(y)
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 3. For arbitrary $y, z, \in D$ suppose that $M_{\infty} \overline{(z)}=M_{\infty}(z), M_{\infty}^{\prime} \overline{(z)}=$ $\overline{M_{\infty}^{\prime}(z)}$ and $N_{1}^{0} \overline{(z)}=\overline{N_{1}^{0}(z)}, \quad N_{2}^{0} \overline{(z)}=\overline{N_{2}^{0}(z)}$ then it is i)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[y, z_{\infty}\right]=\frac{1}{\alpha}\left[M_{\infty}(y) \overline{M_{\infty}^{\prime}(z)}-M_{\infty}^{\prime}(y) \overline{M_{\infty}(z)}\right] \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

ii)

$$
\begin{equation*}
[y, z]_{-1}=N_{1}^{0}(y) \cdot N_{2}^{0}(\bar{z})-N_{1}^{0}(\bar{z}) \cdot N_{2}^{0}(y) \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. i)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{\alpha}\left[M_{\infty}(y) \overline{M_{\infty}^{\prime}(z)}-M_{\infty}^{\prime}(y) \overline{M_{\infty}(z)}\right] \\
= & \frac{1}{\alpha}\left(\alpha_{1}[y, v]_{\infty}-\alpha_{2}[y, u]_{\infty}\right)\left(\alpha_{1}^{\prime}[\bar{z}, v]_{\infty}-\alpha_{2}^{\prime}[\bar{z}, u]_{\infty}\right) \\
& -\left(\alpha_{1}^{\prime}[y, v]_{\infty}-\alpha_{2}^{\prime}[y, u]_{\infty}\left(\alpha_{1}[\bar{z}, v]_{\infty}-\alpha_{2}[\bar{z}, u]_{\infty}\right)\right) \\
= & \frac{1}{\alpha}\left[\alpha_{1}^{\prime} \alpha_{2}\left([y, v]_{\infty}[\bar{z}, u]_{\infty}-[y, u]_{\infty}[\bar{z}, v]_{\infty}\right)\right. \\
& \left.-\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}^{\prime}\left([y, v]_{\infty}[\bar{z}, u]_{\infty}-[y, u]_{\infty}[\bar{z}, v]_{\infty}\right)\right] \\
= & \frac{1}{\alpha}\left[\left(\alpha_{1}^{\prime} \alpha_{2}-\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}^{\prime}\right)\left([y, v]_{\infty}[\bar{z}, u]_{\infty}-[y, u]_{\infty}[\bar{z}, v]_{\infty}\right)\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

From Lemma 1 it is obtained

$$
\frac{1}{\alpha}\left[M_{\infty}(y) \overline{M_{\infty}^{\prime}(z)}-M_{\infty}^{\prime}(y) \overline{M_{\infty}(z)}\right]=[y, z]_{\infty}
$$

$i i)$ is similar to $i$ ).

## 2. Linear Operator Generated by Given Boundary Value Problem in Hilbert Space

Supposing $f^{(1)} \in l_{w}^{2}(\mathbb{N}), f^{(2)} \in \mathbb{C}$ we denote linear space $H=l_{w}^{2}(\mathbb{N}) \oplus \mathbb{C}$ with two component of elements of $\widehat{f}=\binom{f^{(1)}}{f^{(2)}}$. Supposing $\alpha:=\left|\begin{array}{ll}\alpha_{1}^{\prime} & \alpha_{1} \\ \alpha_{2}^{\prime} & \alpha_{2}\end{array}\right|$, if $\alpha>0$ and

$$
\widehat{f}=\binom{f^{(1)}}{f^{(2)}}, \widehat{g}=\binom{g^{(1)}}{g^{(2)}} \in H, f^{(1)}=\left(f_{n}^{(1)}\right), g^{(1)}=\left(g_{n}^{(1)}\right)(n \in \mathbb{N})
$$

then the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\widehat{f}, \widehat{g})=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_{n}^{(1)} \bar{g}_{n}^{(1)} w_{n}+\frac{1}{\alpha} f^{(2)} \bar{g}^{(2)} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

defines an inner product in $H$ Hilbert space. In terms of this inner product, $H$ linear space is a Hilbert space. Thus it is Hilbert space which is suitable for boundary value problem has been defined. Suitable for boundary value problem let's define operator of $A_{h}: H \longrightarrow H$ with equalities

$$
\begin{equation*}
D\left(A_{h}\right)=\left\{\widehat{f}=\binom{f^{(1)}}{f^{(2)}} \in H: f^{(1)} \in D, M_{0}\left(f^{(2)}=M_{\infty}^{\prime}\left(f^{(1)}\right)\right\}\right. \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{h} \widehat{f}=\tilde{l}(\widehat{f}):=\binom{l\left(f^{(1)}\right)}{M_{\infty}\left(f^{(1)}\right)} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 4. In Hilbert space $H=l_{w}^{2}(\mathbb{N}) \oplus \mathbb{C}$ for $A_{h}$ operator defined with equalities (2.2) and (2.3) the equality

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(A_{h} \widehat{f}, \widehat{g}\right)-\left(\widehat{f}, A_{h} \widehat{g}\right)=\left[f^{(1)}, g^{(1)}\right]_{-1}-\left[f^{(1)}, g^{(1)}\right]_{\infty} \\
& \quad+\frac{1}{\alpha}\left[M_{\infty}\left(f^{(1)}\right) \overline{M_{\infty}\left(g^{(1)}\right)}-M_{\infty}^{\prime}\left(f^{(1)}\right) \overline{M_{\infty}\left(g^{(1)}\right)}\right] \tag{2.4}
\end{align*}
$$

is provided.
Proof. From (1.8) and (2.1) it is

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left(A_{h} \widehat{f}, \widehat{g}\right)_{N}: \quad=\sum_{n=0}^{N} \frac{1}{w_{n}}\left(a_{n-1} f_{n-1}^{(1)}+b_{n} f_{n}^{(1)}+a_{n} f_{n+1}^{(1)}\right) \overline{g_{n}^{(1)}} w_{n} \\
&+\frac{1}{\alpha} M_{\infty} f^{(1)} \overline{M_{\infty}^{2}}\left(g^{(1)}\right)+\frac{1}{\alpha} M_{\infty} f^{(1)} \overline{M_{\infty}^{\prime}}\left(g^{(1)}\right) \\
&= \sum_{n=0}^{N}\left(a_{n-1} f_{n-1}^{(1)}+b_{n} f_{n}^{(1)}+a_{n} f_{n+1}^{(1)}\right) \overline{g_{n}^{(1)}} \\
&+\frac{1}{\alpha} M_{\infty} f^{(1)} \overline{M_{\infty}^{\prime}}\left(g^{(1)}\right) \\
&= \sum_{n=0}^{N}\left(a_{n-1} f_{n-1}^{(1)} \overline{g_{n}^{(1)}}+b_{n} f_{n}^{(1)} \overline{g_{n}^{(1)}}+a_{n} f_{n+1}^{(1)} \overline{g_{n}^{(1)}}\right) \\
&+\frac{1}{\alpha} M_{\infty} f^{(1)} \overline{M_{\infty}^{\prime}}\left(g^{(1)}\right) \\
&=\left(a_{-1} f_{-1}^{(1)} \bar{g}_{0}^{(1)}+b_{0} f_{0}^{(1)} \bar{g}_{0}^{(1)}+a_{0} f_{1}^{(1)} \bar{g}_{0}^{(1)}+a_{0} f_{0}^{(1)} \bar{g}_{1}^{(1)}\right. \\
&+b_{1} f_{1}^{(1)} \bar{g}_{1}^{(1)}+a_{1} f_{2}^{(1)} \bar{g}_{1}^{(1)}+\ldots+a_{N-1} f_{N-1}^{(1)} \bar{g}_{1}^{(1)} \\
&\left.+b_{N} f_{N}^{(1)} \bar{g}_{N}^{(1)}+a_{N} f_{N+1}^{(1)}\right) \bar{g}_{N}^{(1)}+\frac{1}{\alpha} M_{\infty} f^{(1)} \overline{M_{\infty}^{\prime}}\left(g^{(1)}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly it is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\widehat{f}, A_{h} \widehat{g}\right)_{N}: & =\sum_{n=0}^{N} \frac{1}{w_{n}}\left(a_{n-1} \bar{g}_{n-1}^{(1)}+b_{n} \bar{g}_{n}^{(1)}+a_{n} g_{n+1}^{(1)}\right) f_{n}^{(1)} w_{n} \\
& +\frac{1}{\alpha} M_{\infty}^{\prime}\left(f^{(1)}\right) \overline{M_{\infty}}\left(g^{(1)}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
= & \sum_{n=0}^{N}\left(a_{n-1} \bar{g}_{n-1}^{(1)}+b_{n} \bar{g}_{n}^{(1)}+a_{n} g_{n+1}^{(1)}\right) f_{n}^{(1)}+\frac{1}{\alpha} M_{\infty}^{\prime}\left(f^{(1)}\right) \overline{M_{\infty}}\left(g^{(1)}\right) \\
= & \sum_{n=0}^{N}\left(a_{n-1} f_{n}^{(1)} \bar{g}_{n-1}^{(1)}+b_{n} f_{n}^{(1)} \bar{g}_{n}^{(1)}+a_{n} f_{n}^{(1)} g_{n+1}^{(1)}\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{\alpha} M_{\infty}^{\prime} f^{(1)} \overline{M_{\infty}}\left(g^{(1)}\right) \\
= & \left.\left.\left.a_{-1} f_{0}^{(1)} \bar{g}_{-1}^{(1)}+b_{0} f_{0}^{(1)} \bar{g}_{0}^{(1)}+a_{0} f_{0}^{(1)}\right) \bar{g}_{1}^{(1)}\right)+a_{0} f_{1}^{(1)}\right) \bar{g}_{0}^{(1)} \\
& +b_{1} f_{1}^{(1)} \bar{g}_{1}^{(1)}+a_{1} f_{1}^{(1)} \bar{g}_{2}^{(1)}+\ldots+a_{N-1} f_{N}^{(1)} \bar{g}_{N-1}^{(1)}+b_{N} f_{N}^{(1)} \bar{g}_{N}^{(1)} \\
& \left.+a_{N} f_{N}^{(1)}\right) \bar{g}_{N+1}^{(1)}+\frac{1}{\alpha} M_{\infty} f^{(1)} \overline{M_{\infty}^{\prime}}\left(g^{(1)}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus it is obtained:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(A_{h} \widehat{f}, \widehat{g}\right)_{N}-\left(\widehat{f}, A_{h} \widehat{g}\right)_{N}= & a_{-1} f_{-1}^{(1)} \bar{g}_{0}^{(1)}-a_{-1} f_{0}^{(1)} \bar{g}_{-1}^{(1)}+a_{N} f_{N+1}^{(1)} \bar{g}_{N}^{(1)} \\
& \left.-a_{N} f_{N}^{(1)}\right) \bar{g}_{N+1}^{(1)}+\frac{1}{\alpha} M_{\infty} f^{(1)} \overline{M_{\infty}^{\prime}}\left(g^{(1)}\right) \\
& -\frac{1}{\alpha} M_{\infty}^{\prime}\left(f^{(1)}\right) \overline{M_{\infty}}\left(g^{(1)}\right) \\
= & a_{-1}\left(f_{-1}^{(1)} \bar{g}_{0}^{(1)}-f_{0} \bar{g}_{-1}^{(1)}\right)-a_{N}\left(f_{N}^{(1)} \bar{g}_{N+1}^{(1)}\right. \\
& \left.-f_{N+1} \bar{g}_{N}^{(1)}\right)+\frac{1}{\alpha} M_{\infty}\left(f^{(1)}\right) \overline{M_{\infty}^{\prime}}\left(g^{(1)}\right) \\
& -\frac{1}{\alpha} M_{\infty}^{\prime}\left(f^{(1)}\right) \overline{M_{\infty}}\left(g^{(1)}\right. \\
= & {\left[f^{(1)}, g^{(1)}\right]_{-1}-\left[f^{1}, g^{(1)}\right]_{N}+\frac{1}{\alpha} M_{\infty}\left(f^{(1)}\right) \overline{M_{\infty}^{\prime}}\left(g^{(1)}\right) } \\
& -\frac{1}{\alpha} M_{\infty}^{\prime}\left(f^{(1)}\right) \overline{M_{\infty}}\left(g^{(1)}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

As $N \longrightarrow \infty$, passing to limit, it is obtained

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(A_{h} \widehat{f}, \widehat{g}\right)-\left(\widehat{f}, A_{h} \widehat{g}\right)= & {\left[f^{(1)}, g^{(1)}\right]_{-1}-\left[f^{(1)}, g^{(1)}\right]_{\infty} } \\
& +\frac{1}{\alpha}\left[M_{\infty}\left(f^{(1)}\right) \overline{M_{\infty}^{\prime}\left(g^{(1)}\right)}-M_{\infty}^{\prime}\left(f^{(1)}\right) \overline{M_{\infty}\left(g^{(1)}\right)}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 5. $A_{h}$ operator is dissipative in $H$ space.
Proof. For $\widehat{y}=\left\{\widehat{y}_{n}\right\} \in D\left(A_{h}\right)$ and $\overline{D\left(A_{h}\right)}=H$, from equality (2.4), it is
obtained

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(A_{h} \widehat{y}, \widehat{y}\right)-\left(\widehat{y}, A_{h} \widehat{y}\right)= & {\left[y^{(1)}, y^{(1)}\right]_{-1}-\left[y^{(1)}, y^{(1)}\right]_{\infty} } \\
& +\frac{1}{\alpha}\left[M_{\infty}\left(y^{(1)}\right) \overline{M_{\infty}^{\prime}\left(y^{(1)}\right)}-M_{\infty}^{\prime}\left(y^{(1)}\right) \overline{M_{\infty}\left(y^{(1)}\right)}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Because of (1.9), it is

$$
\left(A_{h} \widehat{y}, \widehat{y}\right)-\left(\widehat{y}, A_{h} \widehat{y}\right)=\left[y^{(1)}, y^{(1)}\right]_{-1}
$$

and from (1.10), it is obtained

$$
\left(A_{h} \widehat{y}, \widehat{y}\right)-\left(\widehat{y}, A_{h} \widehat{y}\right)=N_{1}^{0}\left(y^{(1)}\right) N_{2}^{0}\left(\bar{y}^{(1)}\right)-N_{1}^{0}\left(\bar{y}^{(1)}\right) N_{2}^{0}\left(y^{(1)}\right)
$$

because of $M_{0}(y)=0$ and $N_{2}^{0}\left(y^{(1)}\right)=-h N_{1}^{0}\left(y^{(1)}\right)$, it is obtained

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(A_{h} \widehat{y}, \widehat{y}\right)-\left(\widehat{y}, A_{h} \widehat{y}\right) & =N_{1}^{0}\left(y^{(1)}\right)\left(-\bar{h} N_{1}^{0}\left(\bar{y}^{(1)}\right)+N_{1}^{0}\left(\bar{y}^{(1)}\right) h N_{1}^{0}\left(y^{(1)}\right)\right. \\
& =(h-\bar{h})\left(N_{1}^{0}\left(y^{(1)}\right) N_{1}^{0}\left(\bar{y}^{(1)}\right)\right. \\
& =(h-\bar{h})\left|N_{1}^{0}\left(y^{(1)}\right)\right|^{2} \\
& =2 i \operatorname{Im} h\left|N_{1}^{0}\left(y^{(1)}\right)\right|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, it is

$$
\operatorname{Im}\left(A_{h} \widehat{y}, \widehat{y}\right)=\operatorname{Imh}\left|N_{1}^{0}\left(y^{(1)}\right)\right|^{2} \geq 0 \quad(\operatorname{Imh}>0)
$$

That is $A_{h}$ operator is dissipative in $H$ space.
3. The Eigenvalues and Eigenspaces of $A_{h}$ Operator Generated by Boundary Value Problem in Hilbert Space

For all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, the solutions of (1.5) be $\phi(\lambda)$ and $\chi(\lambda)$ for the following conditions:

$$
\begin{align*}
& N_{1}^{0}(\phi(\lambda))=\phi_{-1}(\lambda)=-1 \\
& N_{2}^{0}(\phi(\lambda))=y_{0}=h  \tag{3.1}\\
& N_{1}^{\infty}(\chi(\lambda))=\alpha_{2}-\lambda \alpha_{2}^{\prime} \\
& N_{1}^{\infty}(\chi(\lambda))=\alpha_{1}-\lambda \alpha_{1}^{\prime}
\end{align*}
$$

From (1.10) for $\Delta_{-1}(\lambda)$ having Wronskian is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{-1}(\lambda) & :=[\chi(\lambda), \phi(\lambda)]_{-1}=-[\phi(\lambda), \chi(\lambda)]_{-1} \\
& =-N_{1}^{0}(\phi(\lambda)) N_{2}^{0}(\chi(\lambda))+N_{1}^{0}(\chi(\lambda)) N_{2}^{0}(\phi(\lambda)) \\
& =N_{2}^{0}(\chi(\lambda))+h N_{1}^{0}(\chi(\lambda)) \\
& =M_{0}(\chi(\lambda))
\end{aligned}
$$

From (1.9) for $\Delta_{\infty}(\lambda)$ having Wronskian is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{\infty}(\lambda) & : \quad=[\chi(\lambda), \phi(\lambda)]_{\infty}=-[\phi(\lambda), \chi(\lambda)]_{\infty} \\
& =-\frac{1}{\alpha}\left[M_{\infty}\left(\phi(\lambda) M_{\infty}^{\prime}(\chi(\lambda))-M_{\infty}^{\prime}(\phi(\lambda)) M_{\infty}(\chi(\lambda))\right]\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, in terms of the definition of $\alpha$, it is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{\infty}(\lambda)= & -\frac{1}{\alpha}\left[\left(\alpha_{1} N_{1}^{\infty}(\phi(\lambda))\right)-\alpha_{2} N_{2}^{\infty}(\phi(\lambda))\left(\alpha_{1}^{\prime} N_{1}^{\infty}(\chi(\lambda))\right)-\alpha_{2}^{\prime} N_{2}^{\infty}(\chi(\lambda))\right. \\
& -\alpha_{1}^{\prime} N_{1}^{\infty}(\phi(\lambda))-\alpha_{2}^{\prime} N_{2}^{\infty}(\phi(\lambda))\left(\alpha_{1} N_{1}^{\infty}(\chi(\lambda))-\alpha_{2} N_{2}^{\infty}(\chi(\lambda))\right] \\
= & -\frac{1}{\alpha}\left[\left(\alpha_{1}^{\prime} \alpha_{2}-\alpha_{2}^{\prime} \alpha_{1}\right)\left(N_{1}^{\infty}(\phi(\lambda)) N_{2}^{\infty}(\chi(\lambda))\right)-N_{2}^{\infty}(\phi(\lambda)) N_{1}^{\infty}(\chi(\lambda))\right] \\
= & -\frac{1}{\alpha}\left[(-\alpha) N_{1}^{\infty}(\phi(\lambda))\left(\alpha_{1}+\lambda \alpha_{1}^{\prime}\right)-N_{2}^{\infty}(\phi(\lambda))\left(\alpha_{2}+\lambda \alpha_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right] \\
= & \alpha_{1} N_{1}^{\infty}(\phi(\lambda))-\alpha_{2} N_{2}^{\infty}(\phi(\lambda))+\lambda\left(\alpha_{1}^{\prime} N_{1}^{\infty}(\phi(\lambda))-\alpha_{2}^{\prime} N_{2}^{\infty}(\phi(\lambda))\right) \\
= & M_{\infty}\left(\phi(\lambda)+\lambda M_{\infty}^{\prime}(\phi(\lambda))\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma.6. Boundary values problem (1.5) - (1.7) has eigenvalues iff it consists of zeroes of $\Delta(\lambda)$.

$$
\left(\Delta(\lambda)=\Delta_{-1}(\lambda)=\Delta_{\infty}(\lambda)\right)
$$

Proof. $(\Rightarrow)$ Let $\lambda_{0}$ be zeroes of $\Delta_{-1}(\lambda)$. Then it is

$$
\Delta_{-1}\left(\lambda_{0}\right)=\phi_{-1}\left(\lambda_{0}\right) \chi_{0}\left(\lambda_{0}\right)-\phi_{0}\left(\lambda_{0}\right) \chi_{-1}\left(\lambda_{0}\right)=0
$$

For $n=-1$, because $\Delta(\lambda)$ is the Wronskian of $\phi\left(\lambda_{0}\right)$ and $\chi\left(\lambda_{0}\right)$ vectors according to (3.1) the solution of $\phi$ and $\chi$ are linearly dependent. That is, a fix number $k \neq 0$ will be found to be $\phi\left(\lambda_{0}\right)=k \chi\left(\lambda_{0}\right)$. Because of $(3.1), \phi\left(\lambda_{0}\right)$ is a solution of $(1.5)-(1.7)$. That is $\lambda=\lambda_{0}$ is an eigenvalue.
$(\Leftarrow)$ Let us assume that $\lambda=\lambda_{0}$ is an eigenvalue. Then we show $\Delta_{-1}\left(\lambda_{0}\right)=0$ and $\Delta_{\infty}(\lambda)=0$ are true. For $\lambda=\lambda_{0}$ let us assume $\Delta_{-1}\left(\lambda_{0}\right) \neq 0$ and $\Delta_{\infty}(\lambda) \neq$ 0 .If $\Delta_{-1}\left(\lambda_{0}\right) \neq 0$ and $\Delta_{\infty}(\lambda) \neq 0$, then $\phi\left(\lambda_{0}\right)$ and $\chi\left(\lambda_{0}\right)$ vectors will be linearly independent. Thus the general solution of (1.5) equation can be written as

$$
y\left(\lambda_{0}\right)=c_{1}\left(\lambda_{0}\right) \phi\left(\lambda_{0}\right)+c_{2} \chi\left(\lambda_{0}\right)
$$

Because of boundary condition (1.6), $y_{0}+h y_{-1}=0$ equality is provided. If condition (1.6) is considered the equality

$$
c_{1}\left(\phi_{0}\left(\lambda_{0}\right)+h \phi_{-1}\left(\lambda_{0}\right)\right)+c_{2}\left(\chi_{0}\left(\lambda_{0}\right)+h \chi_{-1}\left(\lambda_{0}\right)\right)=0
$$

will be obtained. In this equality $\phi\left(\lambda_{0}\right)$ is a solution providing boundary condition (1.6). Then we have

$$
c_{2}\left(\chi_{0}\left(\lambda_{0}\right)+h \chi_{-1}\left(\lambda_{0}\right)\right)=c_{2} \Delta_{-1}\left(\lambda_{0}\right)=0
$$

As we accepted $\Delta_{-1}\left(\lambda_{0}\right) \neq 0$ it is $c_{2}=0$. Because of (1.6) and $c_{2}=0$ it is

$$
c_{1}\left\{\left[\phi\left(\lambda_{0}\right), v\right]_{\infty}\left(\alpha_{1}-\lambda \alpha_{1}^{\prime}\right)-\left[\phi\left(\lambda_{0}\right), u\right]_{\infty}\left(\alpha_{2}-\lambda \alpha_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right\}=c_{1} \Delta_{\infty}\left(\lambda_{0}\right)=0
$$

As it is accepted $\Delta_{-1}\left(\lambda_{0}\right) \neq 0$ then it is $c_{1}=0$. As $c_{1}=0$ and $c_{2}=0$. Then $y\left(\lambda_{0}\right)=0$. This conradicts $\lambda_{o}$ being eigenvalue. Thus the proof is completed. If should we show the zeroes of $\Delta_{-1}(\lambda)$ and $\Delta_{\infty}(\lambda)$ as $\lambda_{n} \quad(n=0,1,2, \ldots)$, the vectors of

$$
\widehat{\chi}_{n}=\binom{\chi\left(\lambda_{n}\right)}{M_{\infty}\left(\chi\left(\lambda_{n}\right)\right)} \in D\left(A_{h}\right)
$$

provides equality of $A_{h} \widehat{\chi}_{n}=\lambda_{h} \widehat{\chi}_{n}$. That is, the vectors of $\widehat{\chi}_{n}$ 's are eigenvectors of the operator $A_{h}$.

Definition 7. If the system of vectors of $y_{0}, y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{n}$ corresponding to the eigenvalue $\lambda_{0}$ are

$$
\begin{align*}
& l\left(y_{0}\right)=\lambda_{0} y_{0} \\
& M_{\infty}\left(y_{0}\right)-\lambda_{0} M_{\infty}^{\prime}\left(y_{0}\right)=0 \\
& M_{0}\left(y_{0}\right)=0 \\
& l\left(y_{s}\right)-\lambda_{0} y_{s}-y_{s-1}=0  \tag{3.3}\\
& M_{\infty}\left(y_{s}\right)-\lambda_{0} M_{\infty}^{\prime}\left(y_{s}\right)-M_{\infty}^{\prime}\left(y_{s-1}\right)=0, \\
& M_{0}\left(y_{s}\right)=0, s=1,2, \ldots, n
\end{align*}
$$

Then the system of vectors of $y_{0}, y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{n}$ corresponding to the eigenvalue $\lambda_{0}$ is called a chain of eigenvectors and associated vectors of boundary value problem (1.5) - (1.7).

Lemma 8. The eigenvalue of boundary value problem (1.5) - (1.7) coincides with the eigenvalue of dissipative $A_{h}$ operator. Additionally each chain of eigenvectors and associated vectors $y_{0}, y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{n}$ corresponding to the eigenvalue $\lambda_{0}$ corresponds to the chain eigenvectors and associated vectors $\widehat{y}_{0}, \widehat{y}_{1}, \widehat{y}_{2}, \ldots, \widehat{y}_{n}$ corresponding to the same eigenvalue $\lambda_{0}$ of dissipative $A_{h}$ operator. In this case, the equality

$$
\widehat{y}_{k}=\binom{y_{k}}{M_{\infty}\left(y_{k}\right)}, k=0,1,2, \ldots, n
$$

is valid.
Proof. If $\widehat{y}_{0} \in D\left(A_{h}\right)$ and $A_{h} \widehat{y}_{0}=\lambda_{0} \widehat{y}_{0}$, then $l(y)_{0}=\lambda_{0} y_{0}, M_{\infty}\left(y_{0}\right)-$ $\lambda_{0} M_{\infty}^{\prime}\left(y_{0}\right)=0$ and $M_{0}\left(y_{0}\right)=0$ equalities are provided. That is, the eigenvector
of boundary value (1.5) - (1.7) problem is $y_{0}$. On the contrary, if conditions (3.3) are supplied then it is $\left({ }_{M_{\infty}\left(y_{0}\right)}^{y_{0}}\right)=\widehat{y}_{0} \in D\left(A_{h}\right)$ and $A_{h} \widehat{y}_{0}=\lambda_{0} \widehat{y}_{0}$. In other words, $\widehat{y}_{0}$ is the eigenvector of $A_{h}$. Further, if $\widehat{y}_{0}, \widehat{y}_{1}, \widehat{y}_{2}, \ldots, \widehat{y}_{n}$ are a chain of eigenvectors and associated vectors corresponding to the eigenvalue $\lambda_{0}$ of dissipative $A_{h}$ operator, then it is $\widehat{y}_{k} \in D\left(A_{h}\right) \quad(k=0,1,2, \ldots, n)$ and $A_{h} \widehat{y}_{0}=$ $\lambda_{0} \widehat{y}_{0}, A_{h} \widehat{y}_{s}=\lambda_{0} \widehat{y}_{s}+\widehat{y}_{s-1}, s=1,2, \ldots, n$ with (3.3) equality, where the vectors of $y_{0}, y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{n}$ are the first component of $\widehat{y}_{0}, \widehat{y}_{1}, \widehat{y}_{2}, \ldots, \widehat{y}_{n}$. On the contrary, we obtain $\widehat{y}_{k}=\left(\begin{array}{c}M_{\infty}\left(y_{k}\right)\end{array}\right) \in D\left(A_{h}\right), k=0,1,2, \ldots, n$ and $A_{h} \widehat{y}_{0}=\lambda_{0} \widehat{y}_{0}, A_{h} \widehat{y}_{s}=$ $\lambda_{0} \widehat{y}_{s}+\widehat{y}_{s-1}, s=1,2, \ldots, n$ corresponding to boundary value problem (1.5)-(1.7). Thus the proof is completed.
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